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## THE CASCADE GATEWAY

The International Mobility \& Trade Corridor Program (IMTC) is a U.S. - Canadian coalition of government and business entities that identifies and promotes improvements to mobility and security for the border crossings that connect Whatcom County, Washington State and the Lower Mainland of British Columbia. Together, these crossings are called the Cascade Gateway.


## THE INTERNATIONAL MOBILITY \& TRADE CORRIDOR PROGRAM



The Peace Arch between Blaine, WA and Surrey, B.C.

The goals of the IMTC program are to:

- Provide a forum for ongoing communication and collaboration between agencies responsible for regional cross-border transportation, safety, and security.
- Coordinate planning of the Cascade Gateway as a transportation and inspection system rather than as individual border crossings.
- Improve and distribute traffic data and information.
- Identify and pursue improvements to infrastructure, operations, and information technology.

For sixteen years the IMTC has coordinated regional, binational planning and partnerships advancing projects funded by U.S. and Canadian agencies to pursue the above goals. Cumulatively, these improvements are worth over \$39 million (USD).

## IMTC OBJECTIVES

The goal of the IMTC Program is to improve safety, mobility, and security for the Cascade Gateway. To this end, the following objectives have been identified:

## Improve planning \& data collection

- Improve information and data.
- Promote development and management of the Cascade Gateway as a system.
- Evaluate the feasibility of rail, transit, and marine options.
- Monitor the work of regional and national-level planning initiatives.


## Promote infrastructure improvements

- Improve border crossing approach roads.
- Improve rail crossings and connections.
- Improve corridor connections of trade and travel routes.
- Integrate intelligent transportation systems (ITS).


## Promote improvements to operations, policy, \& border staffing

- Promote coordination and improvements in accordance with the goals of federal initiatives, including the Beyond the Border Action Plan.
- Increase resources and staffing levels at border inspection facilities.
- Improve traffic management at all Cascade Gateway ports-of-entry.
- Ensure ongoing sustainability of the NEXUS and FAST programs.
- Encourage institutional collaboration and integration of information systems.
- Promote harmonization and consolidated administration of preapproved travel and trade programs.
- Explore options for binational financing structures for future improvements.
- Pursue shared U.S. - Canadian border inspection facilities including the creation of accord processing zones.
- Consider off-border inspection functions.
- Promote the adoption of pre-clearance for passenger rail under Canada's 1999 Pre-Clearance Act.


## IMTC STRUCTURE

The IMTC coalition consists of government agencies, nongovernmental organizations, elected representatives, and industry associations. The IMTC is organized in three levels:

## Steering Committee

The Steering Committee meets monthly and consists of approximately 30 different agencies and entities directly involved in border planning and operations. The Committee makes suggestions to the Core Group.

## Core Group

Including the Steering Committee, over 70 agencies and organizations participate in the Core Group, which meets quarterly and is the decision-making body of IMTC.

## General Assembly

In addition to the Core Group, the General Assembly is made up of a broad constituency of border stakeholders including businesses, organizations, and agencies that depend on a safe and efficient cross-border system.

The General Assembly provides feedback on evolving border policies and operations.


The Douglas Port-of-Entry and southbound traffic heading into the United States

## PARTICIPATING AGENCIES

A \& A Contract Customs Brokers Ltd.
Abbotsford Duty Free
Airporter Shuttle/Bellair Charters
Amtrak
B.C. Ministry of Jobs, Tourism, \& Skills Training
B.C. Ministry of Transportation
B.C. Trucking Association

Bellingham/Whatcom Chamber of Commerce \& Industry

Better Borders Northwest
Birch Bay Chamber of Commerce
Border Policy Research Institute (Western Washington University)

Canada Border Services Agency
Canada House of Commons
Cascadia Center/Discovery Institute
Cascadia Cross-Border Law
Cascadia Institute
City of Abbotsford
City of Bellingham
City of Blaine
City of Everson
City of Ferndale
City of Lynden
City of Nooksack
City of Sumas
City of Surrey
City of White Rock
Consulate General of Canada
Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board

Lummi Indian Business Council
Lynden Chamber of Commerce

Nooksack Indian Tribe
Pacific Corridor Enterprise Council
Pacific NorthWest Economic Region
Port Metro Vancouver
Port of Bellingham
Skagit Council of Governments
SmartRail
Surrey Board of Trade
Tourism Vancouver
Township of Langley
TransLink
Transport Canada
U.S. Border Patrol
U.S. Consulate General Vancouver
U.S. Customs \& Border Protection
U.S. Federal Highway Administration
U.S. Federal Transit Administration
U.S. General Services Administration
U.S. House of Representatives
U.S. Senate

University of British Columbia
Vancouver International Airport Authority
WA State Department of Licensing
WA State Department of Transportation
WA State Legislature
WA State Transportation Center (TRAC)
WA State Transportation Commission
West Coast Duty Free
Western Washington University
Whatcom Council of Governments
Whatcom County
Whatcom Transportation Authority

## PROGRAM FUNDING

Since 1999, IMTC participants have together funded projects totalling over $\$ 40$ million (USD) for Cascade Gateway initiatives.

Funding partners have included the U.S. Federal Highway Administration, Transport Canada, B.C. Province, Washington State, TransLink, Port of Bellingham, Western Washington University, Whatcom Council of Governments, U.S. Department of Transportation Office of the Secretary, the Bill \& Melinda Gates Foundation, the Cascadia Center, and regional municipalities including Abbotsford, Langley, Surrey, and White Rock in B.C., and Sumas, Blaine, and Lynden, WA.

## FUNDING BY SOURCE, 1999-2012*
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## ONLINE RESOURCES

Detailed information about IMTC, related projects, funding, and data are all available on the IMTC website: theIMTC.com.

For data not currently available online, please contact the Whatcom Council of Governments at (360) 676-6974 for assistance.


Cross-border trade data collection in 2009

## Data-specific sites

The Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse provides historic wait-time, volume, and departure rate data for the Cascade Gateway ports-of-entry, as well as links to other regionally-relevant data sets:

## www.CascadeGatewayData.com

Annual cross-border traffic volume data is consolidated by the Whatcom Council of Governments and made available on the data section of IMTC website at: theimtc.com/data.

## Available databases

Research results are available through the Whatcom Council of Governments and include the following databases:

- 2012 IMTC Passenger Vehicle Intercept Survey
- 2012 Northbound FAST Lane Study
- 2011 Southbound FAST Lane Pilot Study
- 2009 IMTC Commercial Vehicle Operations Evaluation
- 2008 IMTC Passenger Vehicle Intercept Survey

Data on the Cascade Gateway are also available through the Border Policy Research Institute at www.wwu.edu/bpri.

## THE CASCADE GATEWAY

The Cascade Gateway includes the second busiest passenger vehicle crossing on the U.S. - Canada border and the fourth busiest commercial crossing. Almost 36,000 cars and 2,800 trucks cross the Cascade Gateway every day, carrying almost $\$ 40$ million (USD) in daily trade. The Cascade
 Gateway is a prominent international trade and travel connection.

74 percent of people through the Cascade Gateway cross at least once a month ${ }^{1}$. Recent data show that regional crossborder travelers cross frequently. 36 percent of all travelers cross at least once a week.

Regional population growth is disproportionately high ${ }^{2}$. This region is under increasing transportation demands of higher-than-average population growth.

## POPULATION INCREASES, 2002-2012
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## CASCADE GATEWAY PROJECTS

2013 Passenger Intercept Survey (active): Whatcom Council of Governments (WCOG) is partnering with the Border Policy Research Institute (BPRI) at Western Washington University to collect new data similar to the 2008 Passenger Survey to analyze cross-border traffic patterns, trip purposes, demographics, and assess how these factors have changed over the last five years.

Border Data Warehouse (active): This project archives crossborder traffic data collected from U.S. and Canadian border wait time systems between Whatcom County, WA and B.C., with the goal of providing online reports to the public regarding historic wait times at the border, traffic volumes, queue lengths, and other information which was previously not available or stored. This project continues to improve ways to query and use the archive data, as well as to include additional sources of data to the warehouse.


New signage in Sumas for the NEXUS lane also redirects traffic during queues
Sumas / Abbotsford-Huntingdon improvements (completed 2013): WCOG and the WA State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) completed improvements to northbound traffic movements in Sumas that include an alternate route signage system for lengthy queues and the addition of a northbound NEXUS lane. B.C. Ministry of Transportation (BCMOT) also constructed a southbound NEXUS lane at Sumas.

## CASCADE GATEWAY PROJECTS

NEXUS Marketing (completed 2012): WCOG partnered with Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), U.S. Customs \& Border Protection (CBP), WSDOT, BCMOT to coordinate promotional material relating to NEXUS expansion in the Cascade Gateway as well as to promote enhanced drivers licenses.


WWU students distribute NEXUS information at the Sumas Port-of-Entry
FAST Pilot Study (completed 2012): WSDOT funded a study to assist CBP estimate the effects on commercial vehicle wait times if the layout and operations of the southbound FAST lane at Pacific Highway changed. The study was conducted by WCOG and BPRI.

Border Circulation Analysis (completed 2010): This project has informed agencies' common understanding of investments needed for preserving the east-west transportation network that serves the Cascade Gateway border system. Phase I used existing data and stakeholder feedback to identify primary cross-border routes. The goal is to optimize the Cascade Gateway network as well as develop a plan for subsequent improvemets. Phase II work is pending funding.

I-5 Interchange Justification (completed 2010): This interchange justification report (IJR) for Interstate 5 Exit 274 in Blaine, WA included an analysis of Exits 275 and 276 as well. The report provides options for developing Exit 274 as a full interchange.

## CASCADE GATEWAY PROJECTS

Aldergrove/Lynden Assessment (completed 2010): A subgroup of IMTC participants assessed data from existing sources and independent surveys of regional shippers and carriers to inform a collaborative review by inspection and transportation agencies about regional trade and travel flows and the future facility requirements of the crossing. A final report was completed in 2010.

IMTC Commercial Vehicle Operations Evaluation Survey (completed 2009): WCOG partnered with BPRI and the University of Washington to evaluate commercial vehicle movement through the Cascade Gateway. Analysis included measurement of border arrival and processing rates at all three crossings, as well as the collection of origin-destination and commodity data.

NEXUS Market Feasibility Study (completed 2009): WCOG partnered with BPRI to interview travelers at LyndenAldergrove and Sumas/Abbotsford-Huntingdon to determine knowledge of the NEXUS program and whether they would apply for a NEXUS card if a lane was added at that port.

Passenger Vehicle Intercept Survey (completed 2008): BPRI, in partnership with WCOG, completed a passenger vehicle intercept survey to collect origin-destination, trip purpose, travel pattern, and crossing frequency data.


## CASCADE GATEWAY PROJECTS



Tug and barge operation in Vancouver (Photo courtesy of Rob Bellinger)
FAST Promotion (completed 2008): WCOG, in partnership with U.S. and Canadian inspection agencies, conducted a series of training sessions, outreach, and promotions aimed at increasing regional enrollment in the FAST programs.

Weigh-in-Motion Software Integration (completed 2008): This project connected B.C. and WA State commercial vehicle inspection and processing systems to improve the movement of trade along the Cascade Gateway corridor. It enables compliance status to cross the border with the truck and work with both jurisdictions' weigh station bypass systems.

IMTC Commercial Vehicle Operations Evaluation Survey (completed 2006): An analysis similar to the 2009 evaluation of commercial vehicle processing was completed to monitor changes since the 2002 analysis.

Shortsea Shipping Study (completed 2006): IMTC participants completed a study to determine the potential of shortsea shipping to serve a meaningful share of the future West Coast crossborder freight traffic, and to describe the most feasible service types and supporting actions that governments could take.

Highway 15 Improvements (completed 2004): Improvements to B.C. Highway 15 included dedicated NEXUS and FAST lanes, an improved truck parking facility, and signage.

## CASCADE GATEWAY PROJECTS



CBSA has added LED signage to the northbound Pacific Highway Port-of-Entry

Southbound NEXUS Lane (completed 2004): A dedicated NEXUS lane was installed on B.C. Highway 99 southbound to provide NEXUS travelers with a queue bypass.

Abbotsford-Sumas Border Improvement Project (completed 2003): This binationally funded project identified deficiencies and solutions to address the need for parking for southbound trucks, and to alleviate frequent blockage of streets in the City of Sumas. Based on this project, a new parking facility was developed in Huntingdon, and a subsequent Sumas Border Enhancements initiative improved truck signage and rerouting of vehicles during congestion to avoid city center blockages.

NEXUS Marketing (completed 2003): This project conducted a promotional campaign for the NEXUS program, including advertising, in-queue distribution of materials, and sign installation, backed up by the establishment of a regional web portal, getNEXUS.com.

IMTC Commercial Vehicle Operations Evaluation Survey (completed 2002): The Cascade Gateway's first commercial vehicle evaluation was initiated by the U.S. Department of Transportation to evaluate impacts of ITS-enabled pre-arrival information at the border.

## CASCADE GATEWAY PROJECTS

Cascade Gateway Rail Study (completed 2002): This study identified freight and passenger rail traffic that could possibly be served by cross-border rail, and the service types and improvements needed to handle this traffic. The study also assessed cross-border commuter rail service between Bellingham, WA and Vancouver, B.C. and the potential of a Scott Road Amtrak station in Surrey, BC.


Amtrak Cascades service offers two daily round trips between Vancouver, BC and Seattle, WA

Advanced Traveler Information System (completed 2001): This system provides real-time border wait information for travelers to inform Cascade Gateway route choice. The system also provides archived data for CascadeGatewayData.com.

IMTC Trade \& Travel Study (completed 2000): This study collected passenger and commercial vehicle data at all Cascade Gateway ports-of-entry.

PACE \& CANPASS Promotion (completed 2000): This project marketed the PACE and CANPASS pre-approved traveler programs to regional travelers. These programs were the predecessors of today's binational NEXUS program.

## U.S. - CANADA TRADE VALUE BY MODE

These charts show the value of U.S. and Canadian exports crossing the Cascade Gateway ports-of-entry. All figures are based on declared trade value. Transshipments are not included.

## TRUCK



| Truck |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S.* |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 4,985$ | $\$ 6,373$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 5,483$ | $\$ 5,704$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 6,367$ | $\$ 5,667$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 7,312$ | $\$ 5,475$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\$ 8,577$ | $\$ 5,485$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\$ 9,068$ | $\$ 5,305$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 9,545$ | $\$ 4,265$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\$ 8,184$ | $\$ 3,899$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 9,040$ | $\$ 3,898$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 9,358$ | $\$ 3,850$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 10,083$ | $\$ 4,249$ |

* Figures are in millions and adjusted to 2000 U.S. Dollars, based on U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics import and export price indices.


## U.S. - CANADA TRADE VALUE BY MODE

These charts show the value of U.S. and Canadian exports crossing the Cascade Gateway ports-of-entry. All figures are based on declared trade value. Transshipments are not included.

RAIL


| Rail |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S. ${ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 217$ | $\$ 1,580$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 290$ | $\$ 1,842$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 385$ | $\$ 2,499$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 430$ | $\$ 2,577$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\$ 577$ | $\$ 2,360$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\$ 692$ | $\$ 1,810$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 768$ | $\$ 1,499$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 1,177$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 995$ | $\$ 1,125$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 946$ | $\$ 1,341$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 995$ | $\$ 1,523$ |

* Figures are in millions and adjusted to 2000 U.S. Dollars, based on U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics import and export price indices.


## CASCADE GATEWAY U.S. - CANADA TRUCK TRADE BY COMMODITY

Other
Wood
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Manufacturing
U.S.A. TO CANADA


CANADA TO U.S.A.


Figures are in millions.


U．S．A．TO CANADA

CANADA TO U．S．A．


Figures are in millions．

## TRUCK VOLUME \& TRADE VALUE

This chart compares truck volume with trade value for all commercial ports-of-entry. Export values have been adjusted to 2000 USD based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics import and export price indices. Thin lines show monthly values and thicker lines plot a moving average to smooth seasonal variability. Monthly truck volumes are an average of northbound and southbound totals.

## 2002-2012




Trucks queue for inspection at Pacific Highway Port-of-Entry

## EXCHANGE RATES \& AUTO TRIPS



## ANNUAL USD/CAD AVERAGES

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 0.74 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.99 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Feb | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| Mar | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| Apr | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0.99 | 0.82 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| May | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| Jun | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| Jul | 0.73 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.03 |
| Aug | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.01 |
| Sep | 0.72 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Oct | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 1.03 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 0.99 |
| Nov | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 1.03 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
| Dec | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.01 |
| Avg | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.00 |

Note: Because Statistics Canada did not include NEXUS passenger vehicles in its counts prior to 2012, the chart above uses the percentages of U.S. and Canadian passenger vehicles from Statistics Canada and applies those percentages to the volume numbers provided by Canada Border Services Agency from 2002 forward.

Data sources: Bank of Canada, Statistics Canada, Canada Border Services Agency
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## 10 YEAR CROSS-BORDER AUTO VOLUMES

## SOUTHBOUND, 2002-2012



|  | Peace Arch/ <br> Douglas | Pacific <br> Highway | Lynden/ <br> Aldergrove | Sumas/ Abb- <br> Huntingdon | TOTAL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $1,233,687$ | $1,183,098$ | 587,693 | 629,762 | $3,634,240$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $1,317,364$ | $1,067,614$ | 538,502 | 599,730 | $3,523,210$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $1,399,227$ | $1,116,979$ | 497,451 | 590,282 | $3,603,939$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $1,410,388$ | $1,071,677$ | 485,456 | 596,678 | $3,564,199$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $1,480,119$ | $1,157,180$ | 485,098 | 641,945 | $3,764,342$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $1,566,172$ | $1,086,344$ | 544,102 | 624,764 | $3,821,382$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $1,525,446$ | $1,218,933$ | 595,306 | 652,221 | $3,991,906$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $1,492,435$ | $1,350,196$ | 546,850 | 672,262 | $4,061,743$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $1,887,733$ | $1,478,021$ | 615,318 | 833,653 | $4,814,725$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $2,603,582$ | $1,685,342$ | 693,068 | $1,036,379$ | $6,018,371$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $2,892,861$ | $1,840,844$ | 818,521 | $1,125,050$ | $6,677,276$ |

- Passenger vehicle volumes have increased 11 percent since last year.
- Volumes have increased 84 percent over the last ten years.
- However volumes are still 60 percent less than they were in 1991, when over 16.5 million cars crossed through the Cascade Gateway.


## 10 YEAR CROSS-BORDER AUTO VOLUMES

## NORTHBOUND, 2002-2012



|  | Peace Arch/ <br> Douglas | Pacific <br> Highway | Lynden/ <br> Aldergrove | Sumas/Abb- <br> Huntingdon | TOTAL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $1,275,721$ | $1,099,526$ | 545,080 | 572,147 | $3,492,474$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $1,292,249$ | $1,058,868$ | 525,817 | 559,267 | $3,436,201$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $1,345,388$ | $1,082,670$ | 530,939 | 559,597 | $3,518,594$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $1,376,116$ | $1,055,016$ | 500,964 | 552,043 | $3,484,139$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $1,388,119$ | $1,077,260$ | 500,129 | 559,426 | $3,524,934$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $1,543,378$ | 985,156 | 517,917 | 579,739 | $3,626,190$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $1,402,999$ | $1,192,190$ | 532,565 | 626,347 | $3,754,101$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $1,361,099$ | $1,224,331$ | 522,008 | 592,351 | $3,699,789$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $1,849,005$ | $1,422,279$ | 630,740 | 720,161 | $4,622,185$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $2,421,776$ | $1,730,051$ | 708,829 | 926,019 | $5,786,675$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $2,664,667$ | $1,964,693$ | 778,309 | $1,042,035$ | $6,449,704$ |

- There is a small shift in port-of-entry choice northbound and southbound, with slightly more southbound travelers choosing Peace Arch/Douglas and a larger increase of Pacific Highway travelers northbound.


## 10 YEAR CROSS-BORDER TRUCK VOLUMES SOUTHBOUND, 2002-2012



|  | Pacific <br> Highway | Lynden/ <br> Aldergrove | Sumas/Abb- <br> Huntingdon | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 406,667 | 59,121 | 148,616 | 614,404 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 365,089 | 60,072 | 131,455 | 556,616 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 375,169 | 56,167 | 136,807 | 568,143 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 354,264 | 55,907 | 157,998 | 568,169 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 365,959 | 55,853 | 155,155 | 576,967 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 353,286 | 54,201 | 135,677 | 543,164 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 331,195 | 57,155 | 131,898 | 520,248 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 310,075 | 47,127 | 128,239 | 485,441 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 318,309 | 49,484 | 142,143 | 509,936 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 338,570 | 45,206 | 138,319 | 522,095 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 345,535 | 41,844 | 134,915 | 522,294 |

- There has been almost no change since last year in terms of southbound commercial vehicle volumes, and an 11 percent decrease northbound.
- Commercial vehicle volumes have decreased 15 percent over the last ten years.


## 10 YEAR CROSS-BORDER TRUCK VOLUMES <br> NORTHBOUND, 2002-2012



|  | Pacific <br> Highway | Lynden/ <br> Aldergrove | Sumas/ Abb- <br> Huntingdon | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 391,584 | 79,742 | 87,924 | 559,250 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 377,157 | 98,841 | 65,858 | 541,856 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 376,900 | 104,147 | 73,907 | 554,954 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 364,325 | 98,765 | 55,642 | 518,732 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 353,526 | 112,052 | 52,187 | 517,765 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 362,354 | 101,211 | 46,687 | 510,252 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 356,380 | 74,040 | 43,286 | 473,706 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 319,707 | 65,475 | 47,601 | 432,783 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 348,223 | 45,817 | 100,103 | 494,143 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 369,823 | 14,536 | 113,286 | 497,645 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 374,337 | 11,917 | 110,832 | 497,086 |

- However volumes are up since 2009, increasing 8 percent southbound and 15 percent northbound.
- Aldergrove commercial traffic has been affected by the permitting requirement put in place in 2009.
- Sumas has seen a surge in traffic since the Lynden/ Aldergrove port switched to processing only a limited number of permitted trucks.


# CASCADE GATEWAY PEAK WAIT TIME ESTIMATES <br> <br> AUGUST WEEKEND, 2012 

 <br> <br> AUGUST WEEKEND, 2012}

## SOUTHBOUND



- On average, August vehicle wait times have decreased an average of 4 percent across the Cascade Gateway since last year.
- In 2011 wait times at Pacific Highway and Peace Arch southbound in August were nearly identical. This year repeats that pattern, although with a slight variation in wait times around noon.
- Sumas southbound wait times for August decreased almost 10 percent since last year.

[^2]
## CASCADE GATEWAY PEAK WAIT TIME ESTIMATES <br> AUGUST WEEKEND, 2012

## NORTHBOUND



- The greatest reduction of wait times occurred northbound at the Aldergrove Port-of-Entry, with an average reduction of 31 percent in wait times since 2011.
- The Abbotsford-Huntingdon Port-of-Entry's northbound wait times increased an average of $\mathbf{1 0}$ percent since August of last year. However it is relevant that a NEXUS lane was added northbound in 2012, reducing general traffic capacity by one lane.
- Despite an increase in traffic volumes at all ports-of-entry, Cascade Gateway northbound wait times during the peak month of August remained the same as last year or lower.


# CASCADE GATEWAY PEAK WAIT TIME ESTIMATES <br> <br> AUGUST WEEKDAY, 2012 

 <br> <br> AUGUST WEEKDAY, 2012}

## SOUTHBOUND



- Overall southbound peak weekday wait times have increased approximately 10 percent across the Cascade Gateway since last year. Note that traffic volumes have increased 11 percent as well.
- Lynden's Port-of-Entry saw the smallest increase, with a 5 percent increase in August weekday average wait times over 2011.


## Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors.

Weekday data averaged Mon-Thurs. Weekend data averaged Sat-Sun.
Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (www.cascadegatewaydata.com)
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## CASCADE GATEWAY PEAK WAIT TIME ESTIMATES AUGUST WEEKDAY, 2012

## NORTHBOUND



- Wait times at northbound Pacific Highway now mirror Douglas wait times more closely than the previous year. Pacific Highway northbound weekday wait times in August more than doubled since 2011.

[^3]
## CASCADE GATEWAY TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS <br> FREQUENCY OF CROSSING

Figures are averages for all ports, both directions.

|  | General | NEXUS | Ready | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At least once a day | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Once a week | $24 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Once a month | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Once every two months | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 2-5 times a year | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Once a year or less | $14 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

## WHY NO NEXUS?

| Don't cross enough | $24 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| No reason/don't know | $12 \%$ |
| Meaning to | $9 \%$ |
| No Answers | $9 \%$ |
| Application a hassle | $7 \%$ |
| Application in process | $6 \%$ |
| Non-NEXUS passenger | $6 \%$ |
| Don't want to | $5 \%$ |
| Cost too high | $4 \%$ |
| Other | $18 \%$ |

## TRIP PURPOSE

CANADIAN

| Shopping | $31 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gas | $22 \%$ |
| Recreation | $19 \%$ |
| Vacation | $11 \%$ |
| Mail | $8 \%$ |
| Family Visit | $5 \%$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ |
| Business/Work | $2 \%$ |

AMERICAN

| Vacation | $31 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Recreation | $27 \%$ |
| Family Visit | $18 \%$ |
| Business/Work | $10 \%$ |
| Work commute | $5 \%$ |
| Other | $5 \%$ |
| Shopping | $4 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.
Data sources: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS PORT - OF - ENTRY



Vehicles turn off their engines in the anti-idling zone at the Peace Arch crossing. When the light turns green, they move forward together.

The Peace Arch (U.S.) and Douglas (Canadian) Ports-of-Entry between Blaine, WA and Surrey, British Columbia are unique along the U.S. -Canada border because the inspection facilities are on either side of a joint state/provincial park overlooking the Puget Sound. Open 24 hours a day, this crossing is limited to passenger vehicles (no commercial processing) and has NEXUS lanes in both directions. The port is accessed by Interstate 5 and B.C. Highway 99. Both the U.S. and Canadian facilities have been recently rebuilt.

Peace Arch is the third busiest passenger crossing on the U.S. - Canada border. ${ }^{1}$ In June 2013 Blaine (Peace Arch and Pacific Highway) rose to be the number one busiest port on the U.S. - Canada border for that month.

## 33 percent of all traffic at Peace Arch/Douglas uses NEXUS

 lanes. ${ }^{2} 53$ percent of interviewed NEXUS users cross at least once a week. ${ }^{3}$4.5 percent of travelers use RF identification cards. ${ }^{4}$ Southbound travelers may use the Ready Lane if all in the vehicle have radio frequency (RF) identification such as WA or BC enhanced drivers licenses, NEXUS, or passcards.

[^4]
## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## SOUTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 93,367 | 127,082 | 158,480 | 190,489 |
| Feb | 91,536 | 145,546 | 160,108 | 197,403 |
| Mar | 110,718 | 111,091 | 201,376 | 231,706 |
| Apr | 113,843 | 146,911 | 206,648 | 237,224 |
| May | 126,488 | 158,687 | 223,771 | 253,204 |
| Jun | 124,641 | 155,042 | 219,779 | 252,357 |
| Jul | 137,792 | 191,078 | 261,142 | 283,343 |
| Aug | 154,259 | 202,055 | 271,895 | 300,361 |
| Sep | 140,313 | 167,869 | 243,041 | 251,771 |
| Oct | 135,014 | 156,341 | 224,909 | 227,581 |
| Nov | 129,059 | 151,882 | 210,090 | 227,057 |
| Dec | 135,405 | 174,149 | 222,343 | 240,365 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 2 , 4 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 7 , 7 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 0 3 , 5 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 2 , 8 6 1}$ |

# PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS GENERAL VS. NEXUS, 2012 

SOUTHBOUND

|  | General | NEXUS | Total | \% NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 131,119 | 59,370 | 190,489 | $31.2 \%$ |
| Feb | 133,295 | 64,108 | 197,403 | $32.5 \%$ |
| Mar | 156,536 | 75,170 | 231,706 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Apr | 163,767 | 73,457 | 237,224 | $31.0 \%$ |
| May | 173,683 | 79,521 | 253,204 | $31.4 \%$ |
| Jun | 176,446 | 75,911 | 252,357 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Jul | 204,910 | 78,433 | 283,343 | $27.7 \%$ |
| Aug | 220,877 | 79,484 | 300,361 | $26.5 \%$ |
| Sep | 173,925 | 77,846 | 251,771 | $30.9 \%$ |
| Oct | 152,672 | 74,909 | 227,581 | $32.9 \%$ |
| Nov | 153,903 | 73,154 | 227,057 | $32.2 \%$ |
| Dec | 163,787 | 76,578 | 240,365 | $31.9 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 4 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 7 , 9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 2 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 \%}$ |

- Southbound vehicle volumes at Peace Arch have increased 11 percent since last year.
- Volumes have almost doubled (up 99 percent) since 2009.
- In August an average of almost $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ cars a day crossed the border southbound at Peace Arch.
- Southbound at Peace Arch, an average of 31 percent of vehicles use the NEXUS lane, making up an average of over 2,400 cars a day.
- More vehicles used the Peace Arch southbound NEXUS lane in May 2012 than all the passenger vehicles crossing southbound at the Lynden Port-of-Entry.
- The peak month of volumes in the Peace Arch southbound NEXUS lane was May, with 79,521 vehicles, 5,500 more cars than the average monthly NEXUS volume.


## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## NORTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 58,134 | 119,050 | 146,257 | 181,854 |
| Feb | 59,694 | 131,630 | 149,172 | 191,327 |
| Mar | 72,288 | 144,137 | 193,005 | 226,694 |
| Apr | 72,906 | 126,871 | 197,351 | 228,179 |
| May | 82,891 | 143,933 | 211,283 | 238,423 |
| Jun | 81,250 | 155,611 | 204,708 | 224,923 |
| Jul | 132,773 | 189,280 | 237,450 | 250,709 |
| Aug | 149,029 | 200,576 | 252,191 | 262,635 |
| Sep | 137,001 | 166,244 | 219,231 | 230,896 |
| Oct | 130,216 | 157,472 | 207,995 | 201,780 |
| Nov | 122,040 | 148,630 | 195,044 | 209,014 |
| Dec | 126,792 | 165,571 | 208,089 | 218,233 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 5 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 9 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 1 , 7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 6 4 , 6 6 7}$ |

# PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS GENERAL VS. NEXUS, 2012 

NORTHBOUND

|  | General | NEXUS | Total | \% NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 117,525 | 64,329 | 181,854 | $35.4 \%$ |
| Feb | 123,348 | 67,979 | 191,327 | $35.5 \%$ |
| Mar | 149,808 | 76,886 | 226,694 | $33.9 \%$ |
| Apr | 147,740 | 80,439 | 228,179 | $35.3 \%$ |
| May | 152,716 | 85,707 | 238,423 | $35.9 \%$ |
| Jun | 142,527 | 82,396 | 224,923 | $36.6 \%$ |
| Jul | 162,022 | 88,687 | 250,709 | $35.4 \%$ |
| Aug | 173,469 | 89,166 | 262,635 | $34.0 \%$ |
| Sep | 144,475 | 86,421 | 230,896 | $37.4 \%$ |
| Oct | 122,517 | 79,263 | 201,780 | $39.3 \%$ |
| Nov | 129,309 | 79,705 | 209,014 | $38.1 \%$ |
| Dec | 134,592 | 83,641 | 218,233 | $38.3 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 0 , 0 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 4 , 6 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 6 4 , 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2 \%}$ |

- Northbound vehicle volumes at Douglas have increased 10 percent from 2011 to 2012.
- Volumes have more than doubled (118 percent increase) since 2009.
- In August, 2012 an average of almost 8,500 cars a day crossed the border northbound at Douglas.
- Northbound at Douglas, an average of 36 percent of vehicles use the NEXUS lane, making up an average of nearly $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0}$ cars a day.
- The number of NEXUS cars in the northbound NEXUS lane at Douglas in August was over 11,000 more than all passenger traffic northbound at the Aldergrove Port-ofEntry.


## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (cascadegatewaydata.com) Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

WEEKEND

2007

Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors. Weekday data averaged Mon. - Thurs. Weekend
data averaged Sat-Sun. 2010 data not used due to heavy construction that year at multiple ports of entry.

## NORTHBOUND <br> SOUTHBOUND









## PEACE ARCH／DOUGLAS WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources：Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse （cascadegatewaydata．com）

Note：Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors．Weekday data averaged Mon．－Thurs．Weekend data averaged Sat－Sun． 2010 data not used due to heavy con－ struction that year at multiple ports of entry．
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## NORTHBOUND
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## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## AUTO ORIGINS \& DESTINATIONS

## NORTHBOUND

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bellingham | $33 \%$ |
| Blaine | $20 \%$ |
| Seattle | $15 \%$ |
| USA (Rest) | $4 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $4 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ |
| Oregon | $2 \%$ |
| California | $2 \%$ |
| Ferndale | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $16 \%$ |


| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Surrey | $31 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $26 \%$ |
| White Rock | $8 \%$ |
| Richmond | $7 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $5 \%$ |
| Delta | $5 \%$ |
| BC (other) | $2 \%$ |
| North Vancouver | $2 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $12 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Surrey | $28 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $27 \%$ |
| Richmond | $10 \%$ |
| White Rock | $7 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $6 \%$ |
| Delta | $5 \%$ |
| North Vancouver | $2 \%$ |
| BC (other) | $2 \%$ |
| New Westminster | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $12 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bellingham | $33 \%$ |
| Blaine | $24 \%$ |
| Seattle | $13 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $4 \%$ |
| Oregon | $2 \%$ |
| Mt. Vernon | $2 \%$ |
| Everett-Tulalip Casino | $2 \%$ |
| California | $1 \%$ |
| Washington (West) | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $17 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.
Data source: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey summer wave Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## FREQUENCY OF CROSSING

Figures are averages for both directions.

|  | General | NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| At least once a day | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Once a week | $14 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Once a month | $35 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Once every two months | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 2-5 times a year | $21 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Once a year or less | $24 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## REASONS FOR CHOOSING PEACE ARCH/DOUGLAS

|  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | NEXUS | General | NEXUS |
| ATIS signs | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Avoid congestion | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Don't know | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Following directions | $10 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Most direct route | $55 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| NEXUS lane |  | $4 \%$ |  | $10 \%$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Preferred route | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Radio Advice | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Road came here | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.

## PEACE ARCH / DOUGLAS TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## TRIP PURPOSE

Figures are averages for both directions.

|  | General | NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Airport | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Business or work related | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Church | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Doctor or dentist | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Family visit | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Gas | $8 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Mail | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| School | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Vacation | $23 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Work commute | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |



View of the Puget Sound from the primary booths at the Peace Arch Port-of-Entry

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY PORT - OF - ENTRY



Northbound the number of inspection lanes for passenger vehicles increased to eleven in 2012

The Pacific Highway border crossing is one of the busiest commercial ports-of-entry in North America. Open 24 hours a day, this crossing processes commercial and passenger vehicles as well as buses. It also provides FAST, NEXUS, and a Ready Lane, and has recently served as a test port for a binational initiative to examine cargo pre-inspection as part of the Beyond the Border Action Plan. The port is accessible by WA State Route 543 and B.C. Highway 15 and is only one mile from Peace Arch/Douglas, making it an important part of I-5/B.C. Highway 99 corridor capacity for cross-border travel and freight.

Pacific Highway is the fourth busiest commercial crossing on the U.S. - Canada border. ${ }^{1}$ Processing an average of 2,000 trucks a day, this is a commercial crossing of regional and national significance. ${ }^{2}$

Over $\$ 32$ million (USD) of goods cross through this port every day. ${ }^{3} 2012$ U.S. and Canadian exports at Pacific Highway exceeded $\$ 12$ billion.

Pacific Highway has the third highest number of buses crossing the northern border. ${ }^{4}$ Nearly 16,000 buses crossed through this port-of-entry in 2012.

[^5]
## PACIFIC HIGHWAY <br> AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-201.2

## SOUTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 87,403 | 100,558 | 115,059 | 123,314 |
| Feb | 83,912 | 91,690 | 106,450 | 133,348 |
| Mar | 99,833 | 145,545 | 135,548 | 152,866 |
| Apr | 104,469 | 116,321 | 138,432 | 147,012 |
| May | 120,222 | 121,054 | 143,605 | 156,890 |
| Jun | 117,528 | 124,891 | 142,983 | 159,768 |
| Jul | 140,176 | 145,025 | 164,501 | 170,129 |
| Aug | 147,021 | 145,886 | 166,770 | 181,573 |
| Sep | 121,258 | 125,993 | 145,574 | 161,134 |
| Oct | 112,530 | 124,389 | 144,851 | 158,304 |
| Nov | 105,913 | 113,366 | 134,877 | 145,487 |
| Dec | 109,931 | 123,303 | 146,692 | 151,019 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 0 , 1 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 8 , 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 5 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 0 , 8 4 4}$ |

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY <br> GENERAL VS. NEXUS, 2012

SOUTHBOUND

|  | General | NEXUS | Total | \% NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 92,899 | 30,415 | 123,314 | $24.7 \%$ |
| Feb | 100,052 | 33,296 | 133,348 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Mar | 113,801 | 39,065 | 152,866 | $25.6 \%$ |
| Apr | 109,099 | 37,913 | 147,012 | $25.8 \%$ |
| May | 115,037 | 41,853 | 156,890 | $26.7 \%$ |
| Jun | 114,865 | 44,903 | 159,768 | $28.1 \%$ |
| Jul | 124,866 | 45,263 | 170,129 | $26.6 \%$ |
| Aug | 133,571 | 48,002 | 181,573 | $26.4 \%$ |
| Sep | 117,755 | 43,379 | 161,134 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Oct | 109,382 | 48,922 | 158,304 | $30.9 \%$ |
| Nov | 100,992 | 44,495 | 145,487 | $30.6 \%$ |
| Dec | 103,514 | 47,505 | 151,019 | $31.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 5 , 8 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 5 , 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 0 , 8 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4 \%}$ |

- Southbound vehicle volumes at Pacific Highway have increased 9 percent since last year.
- Volumes are up 36 percent since 2009.
- Since October of 2012 over 30 percent of southbound Pacific Highway's traffic has used the NEXUS lane.
- In 2012, $\mathbf{4 0}$ percent of all Blaine traffic crossed at Pacific Highway.
- Between both Pacific Highway and Peace Arch/Douglas crossings, an average of 13,000 cars enter the U.S. at Blaine each day.


## PACIFIC HIGHWAY AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## NORTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 75,110 | 95,638 | 110,520 | 125,212 |
| Feb | 71,184 | 89,661 | 106,953 | 131,447 |
| Mar | 88,282 | 108,811 | 137,657 | 152,956 |
| Apr | 88,520 | 135,245 | 143,169 | 152,499 |
| May | 98,235 | 141,040 | 149,175 | 167,224 |
| Jun | 92,296 | 117,984 | 144,067 | 169,539 |
| Jul | 136,599 | 117,984 | 165,995 | 196,861 |
| Aug | 148,911 | 148,789 | 178,483 | 199,358 |
| Sep | 114,048 | 102,932 | 153,291 | 177,818 |
| Oct | 83,559 | 122,515 | 147,128 | 170,898 |
| Nov | 98,846 | 114,609 | 141,951 | 154,878 |
| Dec | 103,856 | 127,071 | 151,662 | 166,003 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 9 , 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 2 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 0 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 4 , 6 9 3}$ |

Data sources: Canada Border Services Agency
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY GENERAL VS. NEXUS, 2012

## NORTHBOUND

|  | General | NEXUS | Total | \% NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 93,209 | 32,003 | 125,212 | $25.6 \%$ |
| Feb | 96,149 | 35,298 | 131,447 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Mar | 111,621 | 41,335 | 152,956 | $27.0 \%$ |
| Apr | 109,361 | 43,138 | 152,499 | $28.3 \%$ |
| May | 122,152 | 45,072 | 167,224 | $27.0 \%$ |
| Jun | 124,539 | 45,000 | 169,539 | $26.5 \%$ |
| Jul | 146,923 | 49,938 | 196,861 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Aug | 149,722 | 49,636 | 199,358 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Sep | 130,832 | 46,986 | 177,818 | $26.4 \%$ |
| Oct | 122,274 | 48,624 | 170,898 | $28.5 \%$ |
| Nov | 111,110 | 43,768 | 154,878 | $28.3 \%$ |
| Dec | 119,811 | 46,192 | 166,003 | $27.8 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 7 , 7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 6 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 4 , 6 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8 \%}$ |

- 30 percent of northbound Cascade Gateway traffic crosses at Pacific Highway.
- Northbound vehicle volumes at Pacific Highway have increased 14 percent from 2011-2012.
- Volumes have increased 64 percent since 2009.
- 42 percent of all traffic crossing at Blaine chose Pacific Highway over Peace Arch - Douglas.
- The busiest month for NEXUS was July, when an average of $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 0}$ cars a day used the Pacific Highway northbound NEXUS lane.


## PACIFIC HIGHWAY <br> TRUCK VOLUMES, 2009-2012

 SOUTHBOUND

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 26,368 | 24,149 | 25,265 | 26,625 |
| Feb | 23,247 | 23,499 | 25,516 | 25,754 |
| Mar | 25,236 | 27,828 | 29,960 | 27,288 |
| Apr | 25,631 | 26,308 | 28,491 | 28,477 |
| May | 25,499 | 26,983 | 30,183 | 31,543 |
| Jun | 27,083 | 28,878 | 30,308 | 30,588 |
| Jul | 28,110 | 27,815 | 29,421 | 30,626 |
| Aug | 26,493 | 28,188 | 29,518 | 32,043 |
| Sep | 26,614 | 27,164 | 28,172 | 28,588 |
| Oct | 26,378 | 26,526 | 27,119 | 30,991 |
| Nov | 24,896 | 25,599 | 27,937 | 28,748 |
| Dec | 24,520 | 25,372 | 26,680 | 24,264 |
| TOTAL | 310,075 | 318,309 | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 , 5 3 5}$ |

- Southbound truck volume is up 2 percent from last year.
- Pacific Highway truck volume has increased 11 percent since 2009.
- From a decade ago commercial traffic is down 15 percent.


# PACIFIC HIGHWAY <br> TRUCK VOLUMES, 2009-2012 <br> NORTHBOUND 



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 25,972 | 26,050 | 29,095 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 2 0 1}$ |
| Feb | 24,099 | 26,664 | 28,630 | 29,233 |
| Mar | 26,055 | 29,642 | 32,663 | 31,490 |
| Apr | 26,374 | 28,713 | 30,680 | 31,885 |
| May | 26,826 | 29,469 | 31,001 | 33,732 |
| Jun | 27,740 | 31,305 | 32,574 | 31,247 |
| Jul | 28,194 | 29,632 | 30,922 | 31,497 |
| Aug | 27,673 | 31,168 | 33,174 | 33,839 |
| Sep | 27,090 | 30,187 | 31,072 | 30,005 |
| Oct | 27,464 | 28,289 | 31,129 | 32,579 |
| Nov | 26,227 | 28,045 | 29,962 | 28,719 |
| Dec | 25,993 | 29,059 | 28,921 | $27, \mathbf{2 9 4}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 1 9 , 7 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 8 , 2 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 , 7 2 1}$ |

- Northbound commercial volume at Pacific Highway has not changed since last year.
- 2012 Northbound Pacific Highway commercial volumes have increased 16 percent from 2009.


## PACIFIC HIGHWAY TRADE VALUES

## BY TRUCK

| Blaine |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S.* |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 4,547$ | $\$ 5,381$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 4,948$ | $\$ 4,778$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 5,683$ | $\$ 4,609$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 6,362$ | $\$ 4,298$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\$ 7,327$ | $\$ 4,236$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\$ 7,845$ | $\$ 4,120$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 8,215$ | $\$ 3,314$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\$ 7,098$ | $\$ 3,084$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 7,812$ | $\$ 3,030$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 8,100$ | $\$ 3,018$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 8,685$ | $\$ 3,356$ |

## BY RAIL

| Blaine |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S.* |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 1,499$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 281$ | $\$ 1,756$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 377$ | $\$ 2,401$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 419$ | $\$ 2,487$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\$ 566$ | $\$ 2,267$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\$ 684$ | $\$ 1,765$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 745$ | $\$ 1,457$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\$ 687$ | $\$ 1,151$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 974$ | $\$ 1,091$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 916$ | $\$ 1,320$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 962$ | $\$ 1,503$ |

* Figures are in millions and adjusted to 2000 U.S. Dollars, based on U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics import and export price indices.


# PACIFIC HIGHWAY TRUCK TRADE BY COMMODITY <br> Other <br> Wood <br> Farm <br> Food <br> Manufacturing 

U.S.A. TO CANADA


CANADA TO U.S.A.


Figures are in millions.
Data sources: U.S. Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY RAIL TRADE BY COMMODITY

Other
Wood
Farm
Food
Manufacturing

## U.S.A. TO CANADA



## CANADA TO U.S.A.



Figures are in millions.

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse
(cascadegatewaydata.com)
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

WEEKEND
$\underline{2007}$

Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors. Weekday data averaged Mon. - Thurs. Weekend data averaged Sat-Sun. 2010 data not used due to heavy construction that year at multiple ports of entry.

## NORTHBOUND <br> SOUTHBOUND



May







## PACIFIC HIGHWAY WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (cascadegatewaydata.com) Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

WEEKDAY
$\underline{2007}$

Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors. Weekday data averaged Mon. - Thurs. Weekend
data averaged Sat-Sun. 2010 data not used due to heavy construction that year at multiple ports of entry.

## NORTHBOUND



SOUTHBOUND






## PACIFIC HIGHWAY BUS VOLUMES

BY MONTH, 2006-2012


Month
BY YEAR, 2006-2012

| Annual Bus Volume <br> Northbound |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 11,516 | 12,195 |
| 2007 | 12,433 | 14,510 |
| 2008 | 11,964 | 14,145 |
| 2009 | 11,594 | 15,159 |
| 2010 | 14,526 | 15,768 |
| 2011 | 14,979 | 15,502 |
| 2012 | 14,907 | 15,988 |

- Pacific Highway is the third busiest bus crossing on the northern border.
- Average bus volume at Pacific Highway is up 30 percent since 2006.
- Southbound bus volume is $\mathbf{5}$ percent higher than it was ten years ago in 2002, when it was 15,184.


## PACIFIC HIGHWAY

 TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS
## AUTO ORIGINS \& DESTINATIONS

NORTHBOUND

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bellingham | $31 \%$ |
| Blaine | $28 \%$ |
| Seattle | $9 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $4 \%$ |
| Oregon | $2 \%$ |
| Everett-Tulalip Casino | $2 \%$ |
| USA (Rest) | $2 \%$ |
| Ferndale | $2 \%$ |
| Lynden | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $17 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Surrey | $33 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $16 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $5 \%$ |
| Langley (City) | $5 \%$ |
| Richmond | $5 \%$ |
| White Rock | $5 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $4 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $4 \%$ |
| Cloverdale | $3 \%$ |
| Other | $20 \%$ |

SOUTHBOUND

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Surrey | $36 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $11 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $7 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $6 \%$ |
| White Rock | $5 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $4 \%$ |
| Cloverdale | $4 \%$ |
| Langley (City) | $4 \%$ |
| North Vancouver | $3 \%$ |
| Other | $21 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bellingham | $27 \%$ |
| Blaine | $27 \%$ |
| Seattle | $12 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $7 \%$ |
| Oregon | $4 \%$ |
| Everett-Tulalip Casino | $3 \%$ |
| Burlington | $2 \%$ |
| Washington (East) | $2 \%$ |
| Ferndale | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $16 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.
Data source: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey summer wave

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## FREQUENCY OF CROSSING

Figures are averages for both directions.

|  | General | NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| At least once a day | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Once a week | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Once a month | $38 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Once every two months | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 2-5 times a year | $24 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Once a year or less | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## REASONS FOR CHOOSING PACIFIC HIGHWAY

|  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | NEXUS | General | NEXUS |
| Following directions | $45 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Duty Free Store | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |
| Don't know | $11 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Radio advice | $3 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ |  |
| ATIS signs | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Avoid congestion | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Most direct route | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Road came here | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Web page advice | $1 \%$ |  |  | $1 \%$ |
| Preferred route | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| NEXUS lane |  | $0 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.
Data source: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey summer wave
Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE PORT - OF - ENTRY



LED signage at the U.S. Lynden crossing allows for more dynamic lane management
The northbound Aldergrove and southbound Lynden ports-ofentry are accessed by WA State Route 539 (Guide Meridian) and B.C. Highway 13. Both ports are open 8:00am-12:00am daily. Both facilities process passenger vehicles and limited volumes of commercial vehicles.

Planning has commenced for replacing the Canadian facility, and to make roadway improvements on U.S. and Canadian sides to accomodate future port changes.

Lynden-Aldergrove processes over $\$ 150$ million in trade each year. ${ }^{1}$ Although the amount of goods crossing through this port-of-entry decreased after northbound permit requirements came into effect in 2010, the port still serves a regionally significant population of cross-border shippers.

The commercial ports serve a distinct population of shippers and carriers. ${ }^{2}$ Based on comparisons of observed crossing choices and model assignments, Lynden-Aldergrove is not an "overflow route" for higher-volume crossings in the area, but the most efficient route for the shippers and carriers using it.

[^6]
## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012 <br> SOUTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 35,584 | 39,464 | 47,364 | 46,434 |
| Feb | 37,954 | 34,888 | 43,738 | 54,065 |
| Mar | 42,724 | 47,694 | 56,044 | 65,295 |
| Apr | 43,253 | 51,440 | 55,609 | 72,279 |
| May | 47,129 | 52,746 | 57,315 | 76,491 |
| Jun | 45,806 | 51,848 | 58,116 | 76,736 |
| Jul | 55,065 | 67,439 | 67,710 | 83,902 |
| Aug | 64,117 | 67,592 | 78,328 | 89,907 |
| Sep | 48,061 | 53,620 | 58,525 | 67,986 |
| Oct | 43,211 | 50,824 | 58,749 | 63,523 |
| Nov | 41,931 | 47,156 | 53,088 | 59,408 |
| Dec | 42,015 | 50,607 | 58,482 | 62,495 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 4 6 , 8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 5 , 3 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 3 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 8 , 5 2 1}$ |

- Southbound volumes at Lynden have increased 18 percent since last year.
- Passenger volumes have doubled at Lynden over the last four years.


## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## NORTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 31,225 | 39,824 | 47,581 | 47,920 |
| Feb | 37,903 | 37,290 | 44,157 | 53,804 |
| Mar | 39,955 | 47,194 | 57,873 | 67,161 |
| Apr | 41,472 | 49,782 | 59,607 | 67,484 |
| May | 46,779 | 52,082 | 61,819 | 68,504 |
| Jun | 43,302 | 68,444 | 59,279 | 66,632 |
| Jul | 48,473 | 59,544 | 67,716 | 75,267 |
| Aug | 55,583 | 61,868 | 71,852 | 78,914 |
| Sep | 45,940 | 60,412 | 60,377 | 67,980 |
| Oct | 45,716 | 52,466 | 60,581 | 63,652 |
| Nov | 42,515 | 48,778 | 56,552 | 59,051 |
| Dec | 43,145 | 53,056 | 61,435 | 61,940 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 2 2 , 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 0 , 7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 8 , 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 8 , 3 0 9}$ |

- Northbound passenger volumes at Aldergrove have increased $\mathbf{1 0}$ percent since last year.
- Passenger volumes at Aldergrove haven't been this high since 1997 ( 792,386 cars).


# LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE TRUCK VOLUMES, 2009-2012 SOUTHBOUND 



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 6,452 | 3,520 | 3,431 | 3,011 |
| Feb | 3,628 | 3,885 | 3,837 | 3,030 |
| Mar | 4,043 | 4,569 | 4,383 | 4,023 |
| Apr | 4,359 | 3,990 | 3,899 | 3,659 |
| May | 3,790 | 4,136 | 3,768 | 3,577 |
| Jun | 4,313 | 4,600 | 3,979 | 3,802 |
| Jul | 2,305 | 4,477 | 3,290 | 3,339 |
| Aug | 3,347 | 4,067 | 3,077 | 3,438 |
| Sep | 3,705 | 4,354 | 3,879 | 3,261 |
| Oct | 4,047 | 4,247 | 4,793 | 4,153 |
| Nov | 3,579 | 3,894 | 3,499 | 3,748 |
| Dec | 3,559 | 3,745 | 3,371 | 2,803 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 7 , 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 8 4 4}$ |

- Southbound truck volume at Lynden is up 7 percent from last year.
- Since 2009 commercial traffic at the port has decreased by 1 percent.


## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE TRUCK VOLUMES, 2009-2012

 NORTHBOUND

- In 2010 Aldergrove limited commercial processing to permit trucks only. As a result, northbound commercial volume has decreased 88 percent since 2009.
- Northbound volumes decreased 18 percent from last year.


## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE TRADE VALUES

## BY TRUCK

|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S.* |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 59$ | $\$ 46$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 97$ | $\$ 41$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 143$ | $\$ 56$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 199$ | $\$ 48$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\$ 285$ | $\$ 45$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\$ 347$ | $\$ 38$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 403$ | $\$ 24$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\$ 322$ | $\$ 31$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 270$ | $\$ 21$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 14$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 116$ | $\$ 20$ |



0 Avenue in Canada is right along the U.S. - Canada border and interrupted by the ports-of-entry

* Figures are in millions and adjusted to 2000 U.S. Dollars, based on U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics import and export price indices.


## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE TRUCK TRADE BY COMMODITY

Other
Wood
Farm
Food Manufacturing

## U.S.A. TO CANADA



## CANADA TO U.S.A.



Figures are in millions.
Data sources: U.S. Bureau of Transportation Statistics

## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

## NORTHBOUND





## SOUTHBOUND






## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (cascadegatewaydata.com) Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

WEEKDAY
$\underline{2011}$
2012

Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors. Weekday data averaged Mon. - Thurs. Weekend data averaged Sat-Sun. 2010 data not used due to heavy construction that year at multiple ports of entry.

## NORTHBOUND






SOUTHBOUND





## LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## AUTO ORIGINS \& DESTINATIONS

## NORTHBOUND

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bellingham | $43 \%$ |
| Lynden | $20 \%$ |
| Seattle | $8 \%$ |
| Blaine | $2 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $2 \%$ |
| Ferndale | $2 \%$ |
| Abbotsford | $1 \%$ |
| Burlington | $1 \%$ |
| Washington (East) | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $19 \%$ |


| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Abbotsford | $20 \%$ |
| Aldergrove | $13 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $13 \%$ |
| Langley (City) | $12 \%$ |
| Surrey | $11 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $5 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $3 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $3 \%$ |
| Maple Ridge | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $18 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Abbotsford | $22 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $13 \%$ |
| Langley (City) | $10 \%$ |
| Aldergrove | $10 \%$ |
| Surrey | $10 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $7 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $5 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $3 \%$ |
| North Vancouver | $3 \%$ |
| Other | $18 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bellingham | $46 \%$ |
| Lynden | $24 \%$ |
| Seattle | $5 \%$ |
| Ferndale | $2 \%$ |
| Burlington | $2 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $2 \%$ |
| Blaine | $1 \%$ |
| Everett-Tulalip Casino | $1 \%$ |
| Lummi Nation | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $16 \%$ |

[^7][^8]
## LYNDEN / ADLERGROVE TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## FREQUENCY OF CROSSING

Figures are averages for both directions.

|  | Total |
| :--- | ---: |
| At least once a day | $1 \%$ |
| Once a week | $30 \%$ |
| Once a month | $45 \%$ |
| Once every two months | $6 \%$ |
| $2-5$ times a year | $13 \%$ |
| Once a year or less | $4 \%$ |

## REASONS FOR CHOOSING LYNDEN / ALDERGROVE

|  | Northbound | Southbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Most direct route | $57 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Avoid congestion | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Preferred route | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Following directions | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| ATIS signs | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Null | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Don't know | $1 \%$ |  |
| Radio advice | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.

## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON PORT - OF - ENTRY



The Abbotsford/Huntingdon Port-of-Entry

Sumas - Abbotsford/Huntingdon is a 24 -hour passenger and commercial vehicle border crossing accessed by WA State Route 9 and B.C. Highway 11.

The Canadian facility recently completed commercial processing improvements, and in 2012 NEXUS lanes were established in both directions.

Sumas is the second busiest pedestrian crossing on the entire U.S. - Canada border. ${ }^{1}$ Southbound, Sumas ranked only below Niagara Falls in terms of pedestrian traffic. The port is also the 7th busiest passenger crossing and 8th busiest commercial crossing on the northern border.

More than 80 percent of travelers here cross at least once a month. ${ }^{2} 36$ percent of travelers cross at least once a week.

Almost $\$ 6$ million (USD) in trade crosses through this port every day. ${ }^{3}$ In 2012, $\$ 2.2$ billion (USD) of goods passed through the Sumas - Abbotsford/Huntingdon port-of-entry.

[^9]
## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## SOUTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 35,861 | 51,356 | 65,252 | 73,503 |
| Feb | 35,326 | 47,635 | 62,318 | 79,179 |
| Mar | 40,873 | 61,712 | 79,690 | 92,941 |
| Apr | 49,825 | 65,377 | 81,228 | 93,005 |
| May | 57,891 | 67,506 | 84,847 | 97,720 |
| Jun | 57,819 | 70,102 | 88,656 | 96,847 |
| Jul | 72,907 | 90,461 | 107,632 | 110,171 |
| Aug | 84,666 | 94,736 | 112,854 | 114,377 |
| Sep | 66,845 | 75,355 | 95,621 | 98,750 |
| Oct | 59,384 | 70,237 | 88,001 | 90,626 |
| Nov | 53,427 | 65,478 | 82,064 | 85,324 |
| Dec | 57,438 | 73,698 | 88,216 | 92,607 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 7 2 , 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 3 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 6 , 3 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 5 , 0 5 0}$ |

- Southbound volumes at Sumas have increased 9 percent since last year.
- Volumes have increased 67 percent at Sumas since 2009.


# SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012 

## NORTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 38,109 | 46,195 | 58,527 | 68,378 |
| Feb | 37,862 | 43,667 | 56,535 | 73,686 |
| Mar | 45,595 | 55,723 | 72,360 | 88,111 |
| Apr | 45,190 | 55,881 | 73,095 | 88,335 |
| May | 52,537 | 61,774 | 79,439 | 91,194 |
| Jun | 48,445 | 61,575 | 79,223 | 88,634 |
| Jul | 61,491 | 72,752 | 90,573 | 102,672 |
| Aug | 67,758 | 79,306 | 98,189 | 101,365 |
| Sep | 55,550 | 64,177 | 85,647 | 91,408 |
| Oct | 46,734 | 60,937 | 79,058 | 83,123 |
| Nov | 46,214 | 56,097 | 74,019 | 79,184 |
| Dec | 46,866 | 6,077 | 79,354 | 85,945 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 9 2 , 3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 0 , 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 6 , 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 2 , 0 3 5}$ |

- Northbound passenger volumes at AbbotsfordHuntingdon have increased 13 percent since last year.
- Passenger volumes at this port have increased 76 percent over the last four years.


## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON TRUCK VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## SOUTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 10,168 | 10,632 | 10,410 | 9,640 |
| Feb | 10,703 | 10,550 | 10,822 | 10,399 |
| Mar | 11,399 | 13,037 | 12,876 | 11,798 |
| Apr | 11,981 | 12,895 | 11,922 | 11,650 |
| May | 12,546 | 12,500 | 12,166 | 12,513 |
| Jun | 12,089 | 13,817 | 12,408 | 11,812 |
| Jul | 11,854 | 12,106 | 11,581 | 12,207 |
| Aug | 11,904 | 12,721 | 11,966 | 12,617 |
| Sep | 10,734 | 11,923 | 11,951 | 10,892 |
| Oct | 11,517 | 11,161 | 11,621 | 11,957 |
| Nov | 9,034 | 10,524 | 10,584 | 10,769 |
| Dec | 7,969 | 10,277 | 10,012 | 8,661 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 , 3 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 , 9 1 5}$ |

- Southbound truck volume at Sumas is down 2 percent from last year.
- Truck volume is slightly up from 2009, having increased by 2 percent.


## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON TRUCK VOLUMES, 2009-2012

## NORTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 3,257 | 7,805 | 7,321 | 8,166 |
| Feb | 3,706 | 7,908 | 8,266 | 9,021 |
| Mar | 3,972 | 8,605 | 10,203 | 9,891 |
| Apr | 3,866 | 8,443 | 9,768 | 9,079 |
| May | 3,845 | 8,527 | 10,081 | 9,703 |
| Jun | 4,082 | 9,643 | 10,512 | 9,480 |
| Jul | 4,164 | 7,480 | 9,330 | 10,046 |
| Aug | 3,786 | 8,501 | 10,624 | 10,559 |
| Sep | 4,577 | 9,014 | 9,548 | 8,910 |
| Oct | 4,405 | 8,350 | 9,964 | 9,454 |
| Nov | 3,929 | 7,926 | 9,008 | 9,008 |
| Dec | 4,012 | 7,901 | 8,661 | 7,515 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 7 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 , 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 , 8 3 2}$ |

- Volumes more than doubled since 2009, increasing 133 percent in response to the closure of the Aldergrove commercial port to all but permitted trucks.


## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON

 2012 NORTHBOUND TRUCK PROCESSINGAt the Abbotsford-Huntingdon Port-of-Entry, commercial vehicles are processed through the commercial facility during open hours, approximately 8:00am - 5:00pm. Trucks arriving after hours are processed at the passenger desk. Trucks processed after hours make up 34 percent of the commercial traffic.



Trucks queue along Sumas city streets on their way across the border

Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON TRADE VALUES

BY TRUCK

|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 380$ | $\$ 946$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 438$ | $\$ 884$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 540$ | $\$ 1,002$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 751$ | $\$ 1,129$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\$ 964$ | $\$ 1,203$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\$ 876$ | $\$ 1,146$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 927$ | $\$ 927$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\$ 764$ | $\$ 784$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 958$ | $\$ 848$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 1,139$ | $\$ 818$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 1,283$ | $\$ 874$ |

## BY RAIL

| Sumas |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U.S. - Canada* | Canada - U.S.* |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\$ 21$ | $\$ 81$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 86$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 99$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\$ 11$ | $\$ 90$ |
| 2006 | $\$ 11$ | $\$ 93$ |
| 2007 | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 45$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 42$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 27$ | $\$ 27$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 21$ | $\$ 34$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 33$ | $\$ 20$ |

* Figures are in millions and adjusted to 2000 U.S. Dollars, based on U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics import and export price indices.


## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON BY COMMODITY

Other
Wood
Farm
Food


Manufacturing
U.S.A. TO CANADA


CANADA TO U.S.A.


Figures are in millions.
Data sources: U.S. Bureau of Transportation Statistics

[^10]
## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (cascadegatewaydata.com) Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

WEEKEND
$\underline{2011}$

Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors. Weekday data averaged Mon. - Thurs. Weekend data averaged Sat-Sun. 2010 data not used due to heavy construction that year at multiple ports of entry.

## NORTHBOUND <br> SOUTHBOUND










## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON WAIT TIME ESTIMATES

Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (cascadegatewaydata.com) Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

WEEKDAY

2011
2012

Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors. Weekday data averaged Mon. - Thurs. Weekend
data averaged Sat-Sun. 2010 data not used due to heavy construction that year at multiple ports of entry.


NORTHBOUND

Aug.



SOUTHBOUND





# SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS 

## AUTO ORIGINS \& DESTINATIONS

## NORTHBOUND

SOUTHBOUND

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sumas | $33 \%$ |
| Bellingham | $16 \%$ |
| Mt. Baker, Maple Falls | $10 \%$ |
| Seattle | $5 \%$ |
| Lynden | $4 \%$ |
| Deming | $4 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $3 \%$ |
| Washington (West) | $2 \%$ |
| Nooksack | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $20 \%$ |


| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Abbotsford | $51 \%$ |
| Chilliwack | $18 \%$ |
| Mission | $8 \%$ |
| Maple Ridge | $3 \%$ |
| Surrey | $3 \%$ |
| BC (other) | $3 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $2 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $1 \%$ |
| Langley (City) | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $9 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Abbotsford | $42 \%$ |
| Chilliwack | $20 \%$ |
| Mission | $6 \%$ |
| Surrey | $5 \%$ |
| BC (other) | $4 \%$ |
| Maple Ridge | $4 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $2 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $2 \%$ |
| Langley (Township) | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $13 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sumas | $43 \%$ |
| Bellingham | $20 \%$ |
| Lynden | $7 \%$ |
| Seattle | $4 \%$ |
| Mt. Baker, Maple Falls | $3 \%$ |
| Deming | $3 \%$ |
| Nooksack | $2 \%$ |
| Birch Bay | $2 \%$ |
| Washington (West) | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $15 \%$ |

[^11][^12]
## SUMAS / ABBOTSFORD-HUNTINGDON TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## FREQUENCY OF CROSSING

Figures are averages for both directions.

|  | General | NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| At least once a day | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Once a week | $35 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Once a month | $44 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Once every two months | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2 -5 times a year | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Once a year or less | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## REASONS FOR CHOOSING SUMAS/ ABBOTSFORD <br> -HUNTINGDON

|  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | NEXUS | General | NEXUS |
| Most direct route | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Avoid congestion | $5 \%$ |  | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Preferred route | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |
| Null | $2 \%$ |  | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Following directions | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Don't know | $1 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Web page advice | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| ATIS signs |  | $3 \%$ |  |  |
| NEXUS lane |  | $9 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.

## PT. ROBERTS / BOUNDARY BAY PORT - OF - ENTRY



The Canadian Port-of-Entry at Boundary Bay
Point Roberts, WA is unique in that it is a peninsula only accessible via B.C. Highway 17 and is separated from the rest of Whatcom County.

This crossing does not serve any area other that Point Roberts and this limited traffic is primarily passenger traffic and commercial movements serving the homes and businesses in Point Roberts. However, the dramatic increase of Canadian travelers crossing into Point Roberts for gas, mail, and other shopping purposes has raised this small port to national attention, and it is in the top twenty land crossings along the entire U.S. Canada border. The port offers a NEXUS lane in both directions.

Point Roberts is the fifth busiest crossing on the U.S. - Canada border. ${ }^{1}$ Approximately 1.17 million vehicles crossed southbound into the United states in 2012 through this port-of-entry.

More than 80 percent of travelers in the general lane cross at least once a month. ${ }^{2}$ Almost all NEXUS travelers at this port cross once a month or more, with 65 percent crossing at least once a week.

[^13]
# PT ROBERTS / BOUNDARY BAY AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012 SOUTHBOUND 



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 36,807 | 59,310 | 73,367 | 79,138 |
| Feb | 32,412 | 54,876 | 71,555 | 83,763 |
| Mar | 51,023 | 67,154 | 88,600 | 96,533 |
| Apr | 58,779 | 72,258 | 90,956 | 99,877 |
| May | 64,670 | 78,312 | 98,074 | 109,939 |
| Jun | 71,295 | 80,082 | 98,262 | 105,927 |
| Jul | 78,776 | 92,559 | 106,319 | 114,101 |
| Aug | 79,942 | 88,718 | 104,684 | 112,146 |
| Sep | 67,232 | 75,454 | 97,427 | 98,787 |
| Oct | 62,628 | 74,080 | 93,407 | 94,402 |
| Nov | 57,760 | 67,445 | 83,903 | 85,303 |
| Dec | 61,401 | 76,135 | 87,356 | 89,716 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 2 2 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 6 , 3 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 3 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 9 , 6 3 2}$ |

- Southbound volumes at Point Roberts have increased 7 percent since last year.
- Volumes have increased 62 percent since 2009.
- In the busiest month (July), an average of 3,800 cars crossed into Point Roberts every day.


## PT ROBERTS / BOUNDARY BAY AUTO VOLUMES, 2009-2012 <br> NORTHBOUND



|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan | 44,016 | 56,893 | 67,198 | 78,058 |
| Feb | 46,122 | 52,018 | 68,950 | 81,448 |
| Mar | 51,536 | 63,276 | 86,745 | 93,830 |
| Apr | 56,202 | 68,456 | 87,787 | 97,732 |
| May | 64,864 | 74,901 | 96,012 | 106,792 |
| Jun | 65,408 | 74,714 | 94,127 | 101,682 |
| Jul | 75,289 | 86,186 | 100,807 | 109,235 |
| Aug | 77,036 | 86,880 | 102,567 | 108,433 |
| Sep | 63,721 | 72,570 | 94,438 | 97,769 |
| Oct | 58,482 | 71,751 | 90,434 | 92,440 |
| Nov | 53,987 | 65,508 | 81,619 | 83,276 |
| Dec | 57,188 | 73,742 | 84,695 | 88,820 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 1 3 , 8 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 6 , 8 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 5 , 3 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 9 , 5 1 5}$ |

- Northbound volumes at Boundary Bay have increased 8 percent since last year.
- Volumes have increased 60 percent since 2009.
- In 2012 an average of $\mathbf{3 7}$ percent of all cars leaving Point Roberts were in the NEXUS lane. This is up from 29 percent in 2009.


## PT ROBERTS / BOUNDARY BAY TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## AUTO ORIGINS \& DESTINATIONS BOTH DIRECTIONS

| Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tsawwassen | $26 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $18 \%$ |
| Richmond | $12 \%$ |
| Ladner | $9 \%$ |
| Delta | $7 \%$ |
| Surrey | $6 \%$ |
| New Westminster | $3 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $3 \%$ |
| North Vancouver | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $14 \%$ |


| Destination |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tsawwassen | $30 \%$ |
| Richmond | $20 \%$ |
| Vancouver | $16 \%$ |
| Delta | $8 \%$ |
| Ladner | $8 \%$ |
| Surrey | $3 \%$ |
| Burnaby | $3 \%$ |
| Coquitlam | $2 \%$ |
| North Vancouver | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $10 \%$ |

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.
Data source: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey summer wave

## PT ROBERTS - BOUNDARY BAY TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

## FREQUENCY OF CROSSING

Figures are averages for both directions.

|  | General | NEXUS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| At least once a day | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Once a week | $43 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Once a month | $34 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Once every two months | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $2-5$ times a year | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Once a year or less | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |



Traffic begins to queue southbound into Point Roberts

Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.


[^0]:    *Listed in U.S. dollars. Does not include $\$ 24,557,500$ from U.S. Federal Highway Administration for l-5 interchange improvements at Exit 276.

[^1]:    1. 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey (preliminary results)
    2. U.S. Census Bureau, Statistics Canada, BC Stats, WA State OFM. Border region includes Abbotsford, Chilliwack, Delta, Township of Langley, District of Langley, Surrey, and White Rock.
[^2]:    Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors.
    Weekday data averaged Mon-Thurs. Weekend data averaged Sat-Sun.
    Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (www.cascadegatewaydata.com)
    Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

[^3]:    Note: Figures are estimates and may be affected by construction or other factors.
    Weekday data averaged Mon-Thurs. Weekend data averaged Sat-Sun.
    Data sources: Cascade Gateway Border Data Warehouse (www.cascadegatewaydata.com)
    Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

[^4]:    1. U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics
    2. U.S. Customs \& Border Protection, Canada Border Services Agency
    3. 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey
    4. U.S. Customs \& Border Protection; compiled by Border Policy Research Institute
[^5]:    1 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics
    2 U.S. Customs \& Border Protection, Canada Border Services Agency
    3 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics

[^6]:    1 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics
    2 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics

[^7]:    Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.

[^8]:    Data source: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey summer wave
    Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

[^9]:    1 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics 22013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey
    3 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics

[^10]:    Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

[^11]:    Note: These are preliminary charts that precede publication of a final report.

[^12]:    Data source: 2013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey summer wave
    Data compiled by: Whatcom Council of Governments

[^13]:    1 U.S. Department of Transportation Bureau of Transportation Statistics
    22013 IMTC Passenger Intercept Survey

