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## INTRODUCTION

The 2009 International Mobility \& Trade Corridor Project (IMTC) Commercial Vehicle Operations (CVO) Survey took place in June, 2009. This project was identified by IMTC participants in order to periodically evaluate CVO at the Cascade Gateway's three ports-of-entry as a priority for informing regional investment strategies, and to analyze the impacts of changes to road and inspection systems.

## The International Mobility \& Trade Corridor Project

The International Mobility \& Trade Corridor Project (IMTC) is a U.S. - Canadian coalition of government and business entities that identifies and promotes improvements to mobility and security for the four Cascade Gateway border crossings between Whatcom County, Washington State and the Lower Mainland of British Columbia. The goals of the IMTC project are to facilitate a forum for ongoing communication between agencies that affect regional, cross-border transportation, safety, and security; coordinate planning of the Cascade Gateway as a transportation and inspection system rather than as individual border crossings; improve and distribute traffic data and information; and identify and pursue improvements to infrastructure, operations, and information technology.

Since 1997, IMTC has served as a model of regional coordination on border issues and has helped secure over $\$ 38$ million (USD) from U.S. and Canadian partners to pursue the goals listed above.

## 2009 CVO Evaluation Survey

The Whatcom Council of Governments (WCOG) partnered with the Border Policy Research Institute (BPRI) at Western Washington University, and the University of Washington to conduct a 2009 evaluation of commercial vehicle movement through the Pacific Highway, Lynden/Aldergrove, and Sumas/Huntingdon ports-of-entry. The analysis included measurement of border processing rates, northbound and southbound at all three crossings, as well as the collection of origin-destination and commodity data. Data were collected July, 2009 by a team of Western Washington University students.

This final report, the full project database, and BPRI Border Policy Briefs using the data collected are available from this effort by contacting Melissa Miller, Project Coordinator, at (360) 676-6974.

## 2006 and 2002 CVO Evaluation Survey

Two prior studies were conducted at Pacific Highway, in 2002 and in 2006. The original study was sponsored by U.S. Federal Highway Administration and completed by SAIC and TSi Consultants to evaluate the potential benefits of ITS deployment at the Pacific Highway port-of-entry. The study specifically looked at current delay and estimated future delay with and without the addition of an electronic commercial vehicle processing lane such as a FAST (Free and Secure Trade) Program lane, and concluded that substantial benefits could be achieved if even 15 percent of commercial vehicles crossing at Pacific Highway were to use a dedicated ITS lane.

Given the changes at Pacific Highway since 2002, IMTC participants advanced a repeat study in 2006 to examine if the border wait times improved five years after the original study and after substantial investments in infrastructure improvements. The study examined changes in queueing patterns, travel delay, and processing times at the border, and also attempted to attribute any improvements to discreet projects or initiatives at the border. The study also collected data to be used for ongoing modeling efforts and to develop a baseline for future project monitoring. Initial results showed that the new alignment of southbound B.C. Highway 15 has improved overall travel time for FAST-approved trucks using the ITS lane. For other trucks, however, through-border travel time increased from 2002, despite roadway improvements.

## GEOGRAPHY

Surveying conducted both directions at all three Cascade Gateway commercial ports-of-entry:

Pacific Highway (Interstate 5/State Route 543 \& B.C. Highway 15)
Lynden/Aldergrove (State Route 539 \& B.C. Highway 13)
Sumas/Huntingdon (State Route 9 \& B.C. Highway 11)

## FUNDING

- Border Policy Research Institute, Western WA Univ. (WWU)
- Whatcom Council of Governments (WCOG)
- University of Washington (UW)


## SURVEY TEAM



- 13 WWU students
- 3 supervisors (David Davidson, Hugh Conroy, Melissa Miller)
- Port-of-entry coordination \& facilitation: US Customs \& Border Protection, Canada Border Services Agency
- Post-processing: WCOG, 2 WWU students


## SURVEY SCHEDULE

| TRUCK PROCESSING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pacific Highway | Northbound | June 15 \& 16, 9:00am - 1:30pm; June 24 \& 25, 1:30pm - 9:00pm |
| Pacific Highway | Southbound | June 17 \& 18, 9:00am - 1:30pm; June 22 \& 23, 1:30pm - 9:00pm |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Northbound | July 8 \& 9, 8:00am - 9:00pm |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Southbound | July 1 \& 2, 8:00am - 9:00pm |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | Northbound | July 6 \& 7, 8:00am -9:00pm |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | Southbound | June 29 \& 30, 6:00am - 9:00pm |
| PACIFIC HIGHWAY BUSES |  |  |
|  | Northbound | July 4, 9:00am - 2:00pm; July 10, 9:00am - 3:00pm |
|  | Southbound | July 3, 9:00am - 2:00pm; July 11, 9:00am - 3:00pm |
| 24 HOUR BOOTH DATA COLLECTION |  |  |
|  | Both Directio | July 12 - July 19 |

## ADDITIONAL DATA COLLECTION

Data were collected in addition to the port-of-entry observations. An online carrier dispatcher survey was distributed to truck drivers at the Pacific Highway port-of-entry; and a survey of passenger buses crossing at Pacific Highway was conducted. Details of both efforts are included in this report. A separate report on the carrier survey data has been prepared by the University of Washington.

Not included in this report are add-on data collection efforts including an analysis of the passenger vehicle anti-idling zone southbound at the Peace Arch port-of-entry; a survey of potential NEXUS travelers at The Lynden/Aldegrove and Sumas/ Huntingdon ports-of-entry; and an inventory of border-related signage in Lower Mainland, B.C. and Whatcom County, WA. Separate reports for these projects are available by contacting BPRI or WCOG.

## CASCADE GATEWAY COMMERCIAL VOLUME

Commercial Volumes, Both Directions, 15 Years


Lynden/Aldergrove Commercial Volume, 10 Years


Pacific Highway Commercial Volume, 10 Years


Sumas/Huntingdon Commercial Volume, 10 Years


## VOLUME DURING SURVEY MONTH

|  |  | Pacific Highway |  | Lynden/Aldergrove |  | Sumas/Huntingdon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Northbound | Southbound | Northbound | Southbound | Northbound | Southbound |
| June | 2002 | 33,603 | 35,695 | 6,466 | 4,288 | 7,520 | 11,522 |
| June | 2006 | 31,897 | 30,646 | 11,791 | 5,443 | 4,317 | 15,286 |
| June | 2009 | 27,740 | 27,083 | 6,016 | 4,313 | 4,082 | 11,729 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

24\% decrease in southbound Pacific Highway truck volume since 2002; 12\% decrease since 2006.

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY SURVEY POSITIONS

## Roving position



## LYNDEN/ADLERGROVE \& SUMAS/HUNTINGDON SURVEY POSITIONS

## LYNDEN/ALDERGROVE NORTH



SOUTH

SUMAS/HUNTINGDON NORTH


SOUTH
(1) QUEUE END - StANDARD
(2) PARKING LOT
(1)
(3) воотнs
(4) PORT EXIT
(2)
(4)

## DATA FIELDS

## Processing Table

| TripID | Links Processing record to Booth record |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date | Date of truck trip |
| Port | Port-of-Entry <br> Pacific Highway, Lynden/Aldergrove, Sumas/Huntingdon |
| Direction | Direction of travel <br> Northbound, Southbound |
| Day of Week | Day of week for the truck trip |
| Booth No. | Which booth the truck used <br> 1 (FAST lane), 2, 3 |
| Lane Type | What type of lane the truck used <br> FAST, Standard (STD) |
| Trip No | How many times this truck crossed the border in one day |
| Identifier | Unique number to represent license plate of vehicle |
| Plate Jurisdiction | License plate jurisdiction |
| BC, WA, AB, OR, ID, CA, Canada Other, US Other, Other |  |
| Vehicle Type | Type of truck <br> Passenger vehicle, RV, Pickup Truck, Light Truck, Tractor Only, Tractor Van, Tractor Container, Tractor Flatbed, <br> Tractor Tank, Truck, Truck with Trailer, Other |
| Arrive Time | Time of truck's arrival at the end of the queue |
| Park Time | Time the truck parked (if applicable) |
| Unpark Time | Time the truck left the parking lot |
| Park Duration | Total time the truck spent parked |
| Park Reason | Reason the driver gave for parking <br> Duty Free, U.S. Paperwork, Canadian Paperwork, Broker Paperwork, Drive Time Window, Other |
| Arrive Duration | Total time between end of queue and arrival at inspection booth |
| Arrive Booth Time | Time the truck arrived at the primary inspection booth |
| Depart Booth Time | Time the truck departed the inspection booth |
| Depart Obstructed | Marked if the truck's departure from the booth was obstructed by another truck ahead |
| Booth Duration | Total time the truck spent at the booth |
| Corrected Booth Duration | The booth duration, minus a correction factor to account for surveyor presence |


| RECORDS COLLECTED | Northbound | Southbound | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pacific Highway | 4,586 | 4,516 | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 2}$ |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | 807 | 826 | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 3}$ |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | 1,281 | 2,389 | $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 0 5}$ |


| TRUCK TRIPS REPRESENTED | Northbound | Southbound | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pacific Highway | 1,571 | 1,623 | $\mathbf{3 , 1 9 4}$ |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | 275 | 294 | 569 |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | 447 | 818 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 5}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 2 8}$ |

## DATA FIELDS

## Booth Table

| TripID | Links Processing record to Booth record |
| :---: | :---: |
| RecordID | Unique number for this record |
| Timestamp | Computer-based timestamp of record entry |
| Date | Date of truck trip |
| Time | Time the record was entered |
| Port | Port-of-Entry Pacific Highway, Lynden/A/dergrove, Sumas/Huntingdon |
| Direction | Direction of travel Northbound, Southbound |
| Booth No | Which booth the truck used 1 (FAST lane), 2, 3 |
| Identifier | Unique number to represent the license plate of the vehicle |
| Trip No | How many times this truck crossed the border in one day |
| License | License plate of the truck |
| Origin | Truck's origin city |
| Origin State | State or Province of origin city |
| Origin Zone | Origin region- <br> Whatcom, Pt Roberts, Puget Sound, W WA, E WA, AK, W USA, Rest USA, E Lower Mainland, W Lower Mainland, Rest BC, AB, W Canada, E Canada |
| Destination | Truck's destination city |
| Destination State | State or Province of destination city |
| Destination Zone | Destination region <br> Whatcom, Pt Roberts, Puget Sound, W WA, E WA, AK, W USA, Rest USA, E Lower Mainland, W Lower Mainland, Rest BC, AB, W Canada, E Canada |
| Commodity | Commodity description |
| Commodity Code | Two-digit SCTG code for the commodity type |
| Commodity Category | Generalized commodity categories used in regional model Manufacturing, Unknown, Food, Wood, Bulk, Farm, PrintedMatters, Empty |
| LTL | Marked if the truck was carrying less-than-truckload |
| Carrier No | Number representing the name of the trucking company |
| Carrier City | Carrier company's base city (as listed on the truck) |
| Carrier State | State or Province of the carrier company's base city |
| Carrier Zone | Carrier base city's region <br> Whatcom, Pt Roberts, Puget Sound, W WA, E WA, AK, W USA, Rest USA, E Lower Mainland, W Lower Mainland, Rest $B C, A B, W$ Canada, E Canada |
| Vehicle Type | Type of truck <br> Passenger vehicle, RV, Pickup Truck, Light Truck, Tractor Only, Tractor Van, Tractor Container, Tractor Flatbed, Tractor Tank, Truck, Truck with Trailer, Other |


| FROM BOOTH (Matched Records) | Northbound | Southbound | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pacific Highway | 1,457 | 1,522 | $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 7}$ |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | 245 | 284 | 529 |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | 414 | 777 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 1 4}$ |


| FROM BOOTH_24 | Northbound | Southbound | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pacific Highway | 4,355 | 4,136 | $\mathbf{8 , 4 9 1}$ |

## TRAVEL TIMES

## Truck Wait Times, Pacific Highway Southbound Standard Lanes

From end of queue to arrival at booth (not including booth time)

Wednesday, June 17, 2009


Monday, June 22, 2009


Tuesday, June 23, 2009


## 2006 Southbound Non-FAST Lanes Travel Times



## QUEUE \& INSPECTION TIMES

| PACIFIC HIGHWAY NORTHBOUND 8AM-5PM weekday |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Lane | Queue Time (Avg Mins) | Inspection Time (Avg Secs) | Total Time (Avg Mins) |
| 2002 | General | 14 | 49 | 15 |
| 2006 | General |  | 64 |  |
| 2009 | FAST | 2 | 69 | 3 |
| 2009 | General | 16 | 76 | 17 |

PACIFIC HIGHWAY SOUTHBOUND
8AM - 5PM weekeday

| Year | Lane | Queue Time (Avg <br> Mins) | Inspection <br> Time (Avg <br> Secs) | Total Time <br> (Avg Mins) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Empty/Preclea | 45 | NA |  |
|  | General | 70 | 57 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{2} 2006$ | FAST | 20 | 87 | 21 |
|  | General | 78 | 120 | 80 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | FAST | 7 | 76 | 8 |
|  | General | 28 | 100 | 29 |

LYNDEN/ALDERGROVE 2009
8AM-5PM weekday

| Direction | Queue Time <br> (Avg Mins) | Inspection Time <br> (Avg Secs) | Total Time <br> (Avg Mins) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound |  | 351 |  |
| Southbound | 18 | 105 | 19 |

SUMAS/HUNTINGDON 2009
8AM-5PM weekday

| Direction | Queue Time <br> (Avg Mins) | Inspection Time <br> (Avg Secs) | Total Time <br> (Avg Mins) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | 11 | 140 | 13 |
| Southbound | 15 | 57 | 16 |

## Lynden/Aldergrove Southbound Truck Wait Times



## COMMODITY

## Pacific Highway

## Booth Data



## Pacific Highway

24 Hour Data


Southbound


## COMMODITY

## Lynden/Aldergrove Booth Data



Other, 13.1\%

## Sumas/Huntingdon Booth Data



Northbound

Southbound
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## COMMODITY

## 9 Year Comparison of Southbound Commodity Composition

2000 Commodities, Pacific Highway Southbound Not including empty/NA truck loads

2006 Commodities, Pacific Highway Southbound Not including empty/NA truck loads


2009 Commodities, Pacific Highway Southbound Not including empty/NA truck loads


## VEHICLE TYPES

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY NORTHBOUND

| Vehicle Type | $\#$ | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tractor Van | 792 | $51.7 \%$ |
| Tractor Flatbed | 145 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Tractor Container | 130 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Light Truck | 121 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Tractor Tank | 101 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Passenger Vehicle | 94 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Other | 32 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Pickup Truck | 27 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Truck Trailer | 26 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Tractor Other | 24 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Tractor Only | 24 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Truck | 13 | $0.8 \%$ |
| RV | 3 | $0.2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 2}$ |  |

## PACIFIC HIGHWAY SOUTHBOUND

| Vehicle Type | $\#$ | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tractor Van | 895 | $57.5 \%$ |
| Tractor Flatbed | 163 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Tractor Tank | 125 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Light Truck | 120 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Tractor Container | 64 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Passenger Vehicle | 63 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Pickup Truck | 38 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Tractor Only | 26 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Tractor Other | 25 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Truck Trailer | 13 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Truck | 5 | $0.3 \%$ |
| RV | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 7}$ |  |

LYNDEN/ALDERGROVE NORTHBOUND

| Vehicle Type | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tractor Flatbed | 108 | $41.1 \%$ |
| Tractor Van | 61 | $23.2 \%$ |
| Tractor Other | 21 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Light Truck | 20 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Tractor Tank | 19 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Tractor Container | 13 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Truck | 9 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Truck Trailer | 6 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Pickup Truck | 3 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Passenger Vehicle | 2 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Tractor Only | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| RV | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ |  |

## LYNDEN/ALDERGROVE SOUTHBOUND

| Vehicle Type | $\#$ | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tractor Van | 61 | $26.8 \%$ |
| RV | 43 | $18.9 \%$ |
| Truck Trailer | 32 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Tractor Flatbed | 27 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Passenger Vehicle | 27 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Light Truck | 25 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Tractor Tank | 19 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Pickup Truck | 14 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Tractor Other | 12 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Tractor Container | 9 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Other | 9 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Truck | 6 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Tractor Only | 5 | $2.2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ |  |

## SUMAS/HUNTINGDON NORTHBOUND

| Vehicle Type | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tractor Flatbed | 125 | $28.5 \%$ |
| Tractor Van | 104 | $23.7 \%$ |
| Tractor Other | 55 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Passenger Vehicle | 37 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Light Truck | 34 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Tractor Tank | 23 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Pickup Truck | 19 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Tractor Container | 16 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Truck Trailer | 15 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Truck | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Other | 4 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Tractor Only | 2 | $0.5 \%$ |
| RV | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 3 8}$ |  |

SUMAS/HUNTINGDON SOUTHBOUND

| Vehicle Type | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tractor Van | 238 | $29.5 \%$ |
| Tractor Flatbed | 236 | $29.2 \%$ |
| Tractor Other | 70 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Passenger Vehicle | 54 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Pickup Truck | 47 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Light Truck | 42 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Tractor Tank | 38 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Tractor Container | 25 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Truck | 17 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Truck Trailer | 16 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Tractor Only | 13 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Other | 11 | $1.4 \%$ |
| RV | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 0 7}$ |  |

Container traffic percentages shift noticeably by direction at Pacific Highway.
$R V$ s are often processed through the commercial lane.

## ORIGIN-DESTINATION PATTERNS

## Pacific Highway Southbound Tractor Vans only

Note: Excludes all records which did not list an origin and destination, and all origin/destination pairings with less than 4 truck trips.


## Lynden/Aldergrove Northbound Tractor Flatbeds only

Note: Excludes all records which did not list an origin and destination, and all origin/destination pairings with less than 2 truck trips.
DESTINATION


## FAST LANE COMMODITIES

## Pacific Highway Northbound

STANDARD LANES

| Commodity Group | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Empty/Mail | 249 | $18.5 \%$ |
| Motor Vehicles | 152 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Agriculture | 136 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Plastic/Rubber | 74 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Wood Products | 56 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Metal Products | 54 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Other Food | 46 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Basic Chemicals | 45 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Mineral Products | 41 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Base Metal | 40 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Manufactured Goods | 40 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Paper Products | 39 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Newsprint/Paper | 30 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Electronics | 29 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Gasoline | 29 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Meat | 28 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Furniture | 27 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Mixed Freight | 23 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Machinery | 20 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Bakery | 17 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Textiles | 16 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Transportation | 16 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Chemical Products | 83 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Other | 1,345 | $98.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

Pacific Highway Southbound

## STANDARD LANES

| Commodity Group | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Empty/Mail | 448 | $40.4 \%$ |
| Wood Products | 99 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Agriculture | 54 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Waste/Scrap | 48 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Newsprint/Paper | 41 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Meat | 37 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Base Metal | 37 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Motor Vehicles | 31 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Plastic/Rubber | 29 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Manufactured Goods | 27 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Other Food | 18 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Paper Products | 18 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Metal Products | 17 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Electronics | 17 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Bakery | 15 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Mineral Products | 14 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Printed Materials | 13 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Mixed Freight | 12 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Machinery | 11 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Chemical Products | 11 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Furniture | 64 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Other | 1,109 | $77.4 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |


| Commodity Group | $\#$ | \% |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Empty/Mail | 236 | $72.8 \%$ |
| Wood Products | 19 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Newsprint/Paper | 15 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Waste/Scrap | 12 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Other Food | 6 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Mineral Products | 5 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Paper Products | 4 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Motor Vehicles | 4 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Other | 23 | $7.1 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 324 | $22.5 \%$ |


| Commodity Group | $\#$ | \% |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Empty/Mail | 9 | $40.9 \%$ |
| Plastic/Rubber | 2 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Motor Vehicles | 2 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Mixed Freight | 2 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Furniture | 2 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Transportation | 1 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Other Food | 1 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Basic Chemicals | 1 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Base Metal | 1 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Agriculture | 1 | $4.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $2.0 \%$ |

Surveyors monitor truck arrivals at the FAST and 2nd booths at Pacific Highway southbound.

-

SOUTHBOUND FAST VEHICLE TYPES


## FAST LANE TRUCK ORIGINS, DESTINATIONS

## Pacific Highway Northbound

Pacific Highway Southbound

| ORIGINS | \# | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SEATTLE | 8 | $34.8 \%$ |
| KENT | 2 | $8.7 \%$ |
| FERNDALE | 2 | $8.7 \%$ |
| BURLINGTON | 2 | $8.7 \%$ |
| BLAINE | 2 | $8.7 \%$ |
| BELLINGHAM | 2 | $8.7 \%$ |
| TACOMA | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| PROSSER | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| LYNDEN | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| LOS ANGELES | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| CORONA | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| DESTINATIONS | \# | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| VANCOUVER | 9 | $37.5 \%$ |
| RICHMOND | 4 | $16.7 \%$ |
| SURREY | 2 | $8.3 \%$ |
| LANGLEY | 2 | $8.3 \%$ |
| VICTORIA | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| PT ROBERTS | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| DELTA | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| COQUITLAM | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| CLOVERDALE | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| BURNABY | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| ABBOTSFORD | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |


| ORIGINS | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| VANCOUVER | 79 | $25.2 \%$ |
| DELTA | 69 | $22.0 \%$ |
| SURREY | 58 | $18.5 \%$ |
| RICHMOND | 35 | $11.2 \%$ |
| LANGLEY | 21 | $6.7 \%$ |
| BURNABY | 20 | $6.4 \%$ |
| NEW WESTMINSTER | 5 | $1.6 \%$ |
| VANCOUVER AIRPORT | 5 | $1.6 \%$ |
| COQUITLAM | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| ANNACIS ISLAND | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| PITT MEADOWS | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| MAPLE RIDGE | 2 | $0.6 \%$ |
| PT ROBERTS | 2 | $0.6 \%$ |
| OTHER | 7 | $2.2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 313 |  |


| DESTINATIONS | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| BLAINE | 49 | $15.9 \%$ |
| SEATTLE | 41 | $13.3 \%$ |
| FERNDALE | 34 | $11.0 \%$ |
| CHERRY POINT | 22 | $7.1 \%$ |
| TACOMA | 18 | $5.8 \%$ |
| KENT | 13 | $4.2 \%$ |
| BELLINGHAM | 13 | $4.2 \%$ |
| MT VERNON | 12 | $3.9 \%$ |
| BURLINGTON | 9 | $2.9 \%$ |
| ARLINGTON | 7 | $2.3 \%$ |
| EVERETT | 7 | $2.3 \%$ |
| REDMOND | 7 | $2.3 \%$ |
| SUMNER | 6 | $1.9 \%$ |
| OTHER | 71 | $23.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 309 |  |

## CARRIER FREQUENCY

## Pacific Highway Northbound \& Southbound, 24 Hour Booth Data

Total number of carrier companies observed: 1,263
Number of carriers which make up 50 percent of all observed crossings (northbound and southbound): 110
9 percent of carriers make up 50 percent of all commercial traffic at Pacific Highway.

## Booth Data

| Port | Direction | Total Number of Carrier <br> Companies Observed | Number of Carriers which Make Up <br> $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of all Observed Crossings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pacific Highway | Northbound | 516 | 87 |
| Pacific Highway | Southbound | 552 | 81 |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Northbound | 118 | 21 |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Southbound | 102 | 82 |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | Northbound | 166 | 47 |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | Southbound | 324 | 126 |

## CARRIER STATE/PROVINCIAL BASE

58 percent of carriers are based in British Columbia; 25 percent are based in Washington State, with the rest based elsewhere. The only crossing that shows a higher Washington State base than B.C. is southbound at Lynden/Aldergrove, where 45 percent of carriers are from WA State and 37 percent from B.C.(Note: Surveying at Lynden/Aldergrove southbound included Canada Day, which may have affected the numbers of Canadian trucking companies working on the holiday).


Carrier names and cities of origin were collected from the sides of tractor vehicles. Southbound at Sumas, surveyors collected this information separately due to booth visibility restrictions.

## ONLINE DISPATCHER SURVEY

1,797 survey flyers were distributed to truck drivers at Pacific Highway northbound and southbound ports-of-entry between June 15 and June 25, 2009.

188 surveys were completed online (218 surveys were started), a 10 percent response rate. The resulting database has 211 records, of which all but 41 are linked directly to the main survey. A separate final report is available for this survey, written by the University of Washington.

## Survey Questions

- Survey number (to tie into carrier name, license plate, date, and port written on slip, and to link to main database)
- Carrier Name
- Facility the truck is based at
- Did the truck make multiple border crossings this day?
- Where did the truck start its day?
- At what kind of facility did it start?
- How many stops did the truck make before crossing the border?
- What city was the load picked up in?
- At what type of facility was the load picked up?
- Description of freight picked up
- (Questions repeated for additional loads)
- (Questions for trucks crossing empty)
- How many deliveries made?
- Delivery location(s) and type(s)
- Delivery load time window
- Penalty if missed time window?
- What happened after deliveries completed?
- Backhaul picked up? Location, description, and destination
- Who is enrolled in FAST? (i.e. driver, carrier, shipper, U.S. program, Cdn program, etc.)
- Did the truck use the northbound FAST lane?
- Did the truck use the southbound FAST lane?
- How many minutes does your company/driver typically plan to wait at the border?
- What is more important to you for cross-border wait times, predictability or speed?
- What do you consider a predictable amount of border wait time?
- Optional contact information/e-mail


Surveyors handed flyers to truck drivers and asked them to give the forms to their dispatchers.


Copy of flyer distributed to truck drivers as they exited the port-of-entry. Top portion of flyer was ripped off and entered into the database at WCOG.

## BUS SURVEY

Survey dates northbound:
Survey dates southbound:
Buses observed northbound: 27
Buses observed southbound: 57
Data Fields

Saturday July 4, 9am-2pm; Friday July 10, 9am-3pm Friday July 3. 9am-2pm; Saturday July 11, 9am-3pm
Passengers interviewed northbound: 95
Passengers interviewed southbound: 203

| Bus Number | Number for each individual bus, linking to passenger data |
| :--- | :--- |
| State/Prov | License plate state or province |
| Carrier | Name of bus company |
| Carrier City | City listed on side of bus for carrier company |
| Carrier State | State or Province listed for the carrier company city |
| Vehicle Type | Type of vehicle <br> Bus, Shuttle, Van, Limo, RV |
| Luggage Off? | Marked if passengers unloaded their luggage for inspection |
| Passengers Off? | Marked if passengers disembarked the vehicle |
| Date | Date of survey |
| Direction | Direction of travel <br> Northbound, Southbound |
| Queue End | Timestamp of vehicle's arrival at the end of the queue, or at the facility itself if no queue |
| Inspection Arrival | Timestamp when the driver began the inspection process |
| Inspection Departure | Timestamp when the vehicle left the inspection area |
| Staging Full | Marked when the staging area was full of buses and the bus was waiting in line to enter the <br> bus area |
| Last Passenger Off | Timestamp of the last passenger leaving the bus |
| No. Passengers | Number of passengers, as provided by the bus driver |
| Capacity | Number of seats in the vehicle |
| Last Stop | Location of the most recent bus stop |
| Next Stop | Location of the next bus stop |
| All Off | Marked if all passengers getting off at the next stop |
| Pickups | Marked if the bus plans on picking up additional passengers before the last stop |
| Farthest Destination | Last destination of the bus trip |
| Border Trips | Marked if the bus will be making another cross-border trip that day |
| No. Trips | Number of additional cross-border trips to be made (if answered yes to the above question) |
| Carrier Type | Type of service <br> Charter, Common Carrier, Private, other |
| Inspection Counters | How many (maximum) inspection counters were open during inspection |
| Last Passenger On | Timestamp of the last passenger getting on the bus to depart the facility |

## Inspection Times

| Direction | Average Duration <br> at the Port | Longest <br> Time | Shortest <br> Time | \# Buses Unloaded | \# Buses Pre-cleared |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | $28 \mathrm{~min}, 35 \mathrm{sec}$ | $1 \mathrm{hr}, 25 \mathrm{~min}$ | 3 min | 10 | 17 |
| Southbound | $28 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \mathrm{sec}$ | $1 \mathrm{hr} ., 29 \mathrm{~min}$ | 1 min | 35 | 22 |

## Carriers

| Direction | \# Carriers | \# Charters | \# Common Carriers | \# Private/Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | 9 | 11 | 9 | 3 |
| Southbound | 19 | 33 | 16 | 2 |

## NEXUS SURVEYING

This survey effort solicited feedback from passenger travelers at the Lynden/Aldergrove and Sumas/Huntingdon ports-of-entry. The information gathered can be used to gauge awareness of the NEXUS program, interest in the program, and basic parameters around eligibility and marketability.

## Schedule

| Port | Day | \# Records |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Mon, July 20 | 122 |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | Tue, July 21 | 175 |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Wed, July 22 | 133 |
| Sumas/Huntingdon | Thu, July 23 | 106 |
| Lynden/Aldergrove | Fri, July 4 | 37 |
|  | TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 7 3}$ |

## Would you get NEXUS if it were at this port-of-entry?

Lynden/Aldergrove \& Sumas/Huntingdon responses combined as they were nearly identical.


## NEXUS familiarity

## Are you familiar with the

 NEXUS program?| Lynden |  |  |  | Sumas |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ |  |  | $\%$ | $\#$ |  |



Surveyors interview southbound drivers at the Lynden/Aldergrove port-of-entry about NEXUS.

## NEXUS Quiz

If drivers indicated they were familiar with NEXUS, but did not have a NEXUS card themselves, they were asked about four attributes of the program.
Do you know it costs $\$ 50$ ?


Do you know it's good for 5 years?


Do you know kids are free?


Do you know it's good for new US ID reqs?


## CASCADE GATEWAY SIGNAGE INVENTORY

The signage inventory project was undertaken to a) identify gaps in signage between crossings and where improvements need to be made to better direct to and between each crossing; b) understand signage appearance discrepancies between U.S. and Canada and between agencies and support recommendations for future designs; and c) suggest message clarification on signs that have outdated wording or messages to improve traveler understanding.

- Data collection completed July 21-23 by a team of 4 BPRI student researchers
- Day 1: East-west connecting roads in WA plus Lynden/Aldergrove \& Sumas/Huntingdon ports
- Day 2: East-west connecting roads in BC plus Peace Arch port
- Day 3: North-south connecting roads and Pacific Highway port
- All border-related signs photographed and maked with GPS location device
- Sign images linked to Google Map using GPS coordinates
- Online map and photos is on the project website at www.wcog.org/imtc


Online map shows where border-related signs are located. Clicking on a link shows a picture and the coordinates of each sign.

Collection showing the various signs facing travelers as they approach a port-of-entry.


## OTHER PRODUCTS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST

Material from the 2009 IMTC Commercial Vehicle Operations Survey include:

- Final project database
- Database documentation
- Bus database
- Nearborder operations and logistical inefficiencies: an analysis of 2009 CVO survey data
- Report from University of Washington
- NEXUS survey database
- NEXUS survey final report
- Online signage inventory
- Peace Arch anti-idling zone survey report
- Border Policy Research Institute policy briefs
- 2006 and 2003 CVO Evaluation survey reports

For these and other materials, contact the Whatcom Council of Governments at (360) 676-6974 or visit the project website online at: www.wcog.org.


Surveyors collected bus passenger and bus processing data at Pacific Highway.


[^0]:    Note: These data represent truck loads, not value or weight (as are national level trade statistics).

